arXiv:math/9805139v1 [math.QA] 29 May 1998

DIFFERENTIAL HOPF ALGEBRAS ON QUANTUM GROUPS OF TYPE A

AXEL SCHÜLER

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Hopf algebra and Γ be a bicovariant first order differential calculus over $\mathcal{A}$. It is known that there are three possibilities to construct a differential Hopf algebra $\Gamma^{\wedge} = \Gamma^{\otimes}/J$ that contains Γ as its first order part. Corresponding to the three choices of the ideal J, we distinguish the 'universal' exterior algebra, the 'second antisymmetrizer' exterior algebra, and Woronowicz' external algebra, respectively.

Let Γ be one of the N^2-dimensional bicovariant first order differential calculi on the quantum group $GL_q(N)$ or $SL_q(N)$, and let q be a transcendental complex number. For Woronowicz' external algebra we determine the dimension of the space of left-invariant and of bi-invariant k-forms, respectively. Bi-invariant forms are closed and represent different de Rham cohomology classes. The algebra of bi-invariant forms is graded anti-commutative.

For $N \geq 3$ the three differential Hopf algebras coincide. However, in case of the $4D_{\pm}$-calculi on $SL_q(2)$ the universal differential Hopf algebra is strictly larger than Woronowicz' external algebra. The bi-invariant 1-form is not closed.

1. Introduction

Non-commutative differential geometry on quantum groups is a basic tool for further applications in both theoretical physics and mathematics. A general framework for bicovariant differential calculus on quantum groups has been invented by Woronowicz [26]. Covariant first order differential calculi (abbreviated FODC) were constructed, studied, and classified by many authors, see (for instance) [3, 10, 24, 22, 8]. Despite the rather extensive literature on bicovariant *first* order differential calculi the corresponding exterior algebras have been treated only in few cases, see [21, 15]. The de Rham cohomology of the three dimensional left-covariant differential calculus on the quantum group $SU_q(2)$ was calculated by Woronowicz [25]. The de Rham cohomology of the four dimensional bicovariant differential calculi $4D_{\pm}$ on $SU_q(2)$ were calculated by Grießl [4]. Brzeziński [1] pointed out that the exterior algebra ${}_{s}\Gamma^{\wedge}$ is a differential Hopf algebra.

The purpose of this paper is to compare three possible constructions of differential Hopf algebras (exterior algebras) over quantum groups of type A. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Hopf algebra and let Γ be a bicovariant FODC over $\mathcal{A}$. Consider the tensor algebra $\Gamma^{\otimes}$ over $\mathcal{A}$. Let ${}_{u}J$ be the two-sided ideal generated by the elements $\sum_{(r)} \omega(r_{(1)}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \omega(r_{(2)})$, $r \in \mathcal{R}$, where $\omega(a) = \sum_{(a)} Sa_{(1)} \mathrm{d} a_{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{R} = \ker \varepsilon \cap \ker \omega$ is the associated right ideal. Let ${}_{s}J$ denote the ideal generated by $\ker(I - \sigma)$, where σ is the braiding of $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Gamma$. Finally let ${}_{W}J = \bigoplus_{k \geq 2} \ker A_k$, where A_k is the kth antisymmetrizer constructed from the braiding σ. Define the exterior algebras ${}_{u}\Gamma^{\wedge} = \Gamma^{\otimes}/{}_{u}J$, ${}_{s}\Gamma^{\wedge} = \Gamma^{\otimes}/{}_{s}J$, and ${}_{W}\Gamma^{\wedge} = \Gamma^{\otimes}/{}_{W}J$ and call them *universal exterior algebra*, *second antisymmetrizer exterior algebra* and *Woronowicz' external algebra*, respectively. The first one is the "largest" one. It can be characterized by the following universal property:

Supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, e-mail: schueler@mathematik.uni-leipzig.de.

Each differential Hopf algebra with a given FODC Γ as its first order part is a quotient of ${}_u\Gamma^\wedge$, see [11, Subsect. 14.3.3] or [12, Theorem 5.5]. Both the second and the third constructions use the braiding σ. This is a twisted flip automorphism of the bicovariant bimodule $\Gamma\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\Gamma$. It satisfies the braid equation. The second antisymmetrizer exterior algebra uses the antisymmetrizer $A_2 = I - \sigma$ only. The definition of Woronowicz' external algebra however involves antisymmetrizers of all degrees.

Now let $\mathcal{A}$ be one of the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{O}(GL_q(N))$ or $\mathcal{O}(SL_q(N))$. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\tau,z}$, $\tau \in \{+,-\}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $z \neq 0$, denote one of the N^2-dimensional bicovariant FODC over $\mathcal{A}$ constructed in [23] by a method of Jurčo. Our standing assumptions are that q is a transcendental complex number and $N \geq 2$. We present three main results. The first two are exclusively concerned with Woronowicz' construction while the last one compares the three possible exterior algebras. The first result is stated in Theorem 3.1. It says that the dimension of the space of left-invariant k-forms equals $\binom{N^2}{k}$. In particular, there is a unique up to scalars left invariant form of maximal degree N^2 (this form is even bi-invariant). The second main result stated in Theorem 3.2 is concerned with the subalgebra of bi-invariant forms. This algebra is graded anti-commutative. The dimension of the space of bi-invariant k-forms is equal to the number of partitions of k into a sum of pairwise different positive odd integers less than $2N$. Bi-invariant forms are closed and represent different de Rham cohomology classes. The third main result is stated in Theorem 3.3. The differential Hopf algebras ${}_s\Gamma^\wedge$ and ${}_W\Gamma^\wedge$ are isomorphic. Suppose the parameter z be regular (only finitely many values of z are excluded). Then ${}_u\Gamma^\wedge$ and ${}_s\Gamma^\wedge$ are isomorphic differential Hopf algebras. For $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(GL_q(2))$ or $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(SL_q(2))$, $\Gamma = \Gamma_{+,z}$, and $z^2 = q^{-2}$ however, the universal differential calculus ${}_u\Gamma^\wedge$ is strictly larger than ${}_s\Gamma^\wedge$. The bi-invariant 1-form $\theta \in {}_u\Gamma^\wedge$ is not closed and θ^2 is central.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we recall preliminary facts about bicovariant bimodules, bicovariant first order and higher order differential calculus over Hopf algebras; we also recall the construction of bicovariant FODC on $GL_q(N)$ and $SL_q(N)$. In Section 3 we formulate the main results. Section 4 is devoted to the Iwahori-Hecke algebra $H_k(q)$. Our first two main results are obtained by studying the 'abstract' σ-algebra. In case of quantum groups of type A the braiding σ can be identified with $T^{-1}\otimes T$, where T is a single generator of $H_k(q)$. In this way Woronowicz' antisymmetrizer can be viewed as an element of $H_k(q)\otimes H_k(q)$. The basic result, given in Proposition 4.5, describes the decomposition of the 'abstract' antisymmetrizer as a linear combination of mutually orthogonal idempotents π_λ. In Section 5 we recall facts from the theory of corepresentations of $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(GL_q(N))$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(SL_q(N))$. We essentially make use of our assumption that q is transcendental. Firstly, $\mathcal{A}$ is then cosemisimple and the tensor product of corepresentations decomposes into irreducible ones. Secondly, this decomposition is as in the classical case. By Brauer-Schur-Weyl duality idempotents of $H_k(q)$ correspond to subcorepresentations of $u^{\otimes k}$ where u denotes the fundamental matrix corepresentation of $\mathcal{A}$. The basic result is Proposition 5.6. It says that π_λ induces a corepresentation of $\mathcal{A}$ that is isomorphic to the tensor product of two single irreducible ones. The connection between the 'abstract' antisymmetrizer and Woronowicz' antisymmetrizer is recovered in Proposition 5.7; Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 are proved here. The proof of Theorem 3.3 is given in Section 6. The first part of the proof uses facts about dual quadratic algebras, see [16], and transmutation theory invented by Majid, see [14]. The second and third parts of the proof are very technical since we need the explicit description of the associated right ideal.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper we work over the ground field $\mathbb{C}$ (with one exception in the proof of Lemma 5.9 where the field $\mathbb{C}(q)$ of rational functions is used). All vector spaces, algebras, bialgebras, etc. are meant to be $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces, unital $\mathbb{C}$-algebras, $\mathbb{C}$-bialgebras etc. The linear span of a set $\{a_i\colon i\in K\}$ is denoted by $\langle a_i\colon i\in K\rangle$. In this paper $\mathcal{A}$ denotes a bialgebra or a Hopf algebra. All modules, comodules, and bimodules are assumed to be $\mathcal{A}$-modules, $\mathcal{A}$-comodules, and $\mathcal{A}$-bimodules if nothing else is specified. We denote the comultiplication, the counit, and the antipode by Δ, ε, and by S, respectively. We use the notions "right comodule" and "corepresentation" of $\mathcal{A}$ as synonyms. By fixing a basis in the underlying vector space we identify corepresentations and the corresponding matrices. Let v (resp. f) be a corepresentation (resp. a representation) of $\mathcal{A}$. As usual v^{c} (resp. f^{c}) denotes the contragredient corepresentation (resp. contragredient representation) of v (resp. of f). The space of intertwiners of corepresentations v and w is $\mathrm{Mor}(v,w)$. We write $\mathrm{Mor}(v)$ for $\mathrm{Mor}(v,v)$. By End and $\otimes$ we always mean $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$, respectively. If A is a linear mapping, A^{t} denotes the transpose of A and $\operatorname{tr} A$ the trace of A. Lower indices of A always refer to the components of a tensor product where A acts ('leg numbering'). The unit matrix is denoted by I. We set $\widetilde{a}=a-\varepsilon(a)$ for $a\in\mathcal{A}$. We use Sweedler's notation for the coproduct $\Delta(a)=\sum a_{(1)}\otimes a_{(2)}$, for left comodules $\varphi(e)=\sum e_{(-1)}\otimes e_{(0)}$, and for right comodules $\psi(e)=\sum e_{(0)}\otimes e_{(1)}$. The mapping $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{r}}\colon\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{A}$, $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{r}}\,a=\sum a_{(2)}\otimes Sa_{(1)}a_{(3)}$, is a right comodule map called the *right adjoint coaction* of $\mathcal{A}$ on itself.

Bicovariant bimodules and tensor algebra. A *bicovariant bimodule* over $\mathcal{A}$ (or *Hopf bimodule*) is a bimodule $\varGamma$ together with linear mappings $\Delta_\ell\colon\varGamma\to\mathcal{A}\otimes\varGamma$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}\colon\varGamma\to\varGamma\otimes\mathcal{A}$ such that $(\varGamma,\Delta_\ell)$ is a left comodule, $(\varGamma,\Delta_{\mathrm{r}})$ is a right comodule, $(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta_{\mathrm{r}})\Delta_\ell=(\Delta_\ell\otimes\mathrm{id})\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}$, $\Delta_\ell(a\omega b)=\Delta(a)\Delta_\ell(\omega)\Delta(b)$, and $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}(a\omega b)=\Delta(a)\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}(\omega)\Delta(b)$ for a, $b\in\mathcal{A}$ and $\omega\in\varGamma$. An element $\omega\in\varGamma$ is called *left-invariant* (resp. *right-invariant*) if $\Delta_\ell(\omega)=1\otimes\omega$ (resp. $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}(\omega)=\omega\otimes 1$). The linear space of left-invariant (resp. right-invariant) elements of $\varGamma$ is denoted by $\varGamma_\ell$ (resp. $\varGamma_{\mathrm{r}}$). The elements of $\varGamma_{\mathrm{i}}=\varGamma_\ell\cap\varGamma_{\mathrm{r}}$ are called *bi-invariant.* The structure of bicovariant bimodules has been completely characterized by Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 in [26]. We recall the corresponding result:
Let $(\varGamma,\Delta_\ell,\Delta_{\mathrm{r}})$ be a bicovariant bimodule over $\mathcal{A}$ and let $\{\omega_i : i\in K\}$ be a finite linear basis of $\varGamma_\ell$. Then there exist matrices $v=(v^i_j)$ and $f=(f^i_j)$ of elements $v^i_j\in\mathcal{A}$ and of functionals f^i_j on $\mathcal{A}$, $i,j\in K$ such that:
(i) $\sum_{(a)}Sa_{(1)}\omega_i a_{(2)}=\sum_n f^i_n(a)\omega_n$, $a\in\mathcal{A}$, $i\in K$, and $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}(\omega_i)=\sum_n\omega_n\otimes v^n_i$, $i\in K$.
(ii) v is a corepresentation and f is a representation of $\mathcal{A}$.
(iii) $\sum_r v^r_i(a*f^r_j)=\sum_r(f^i_r*a)v^j_r$, $a\in\mathcal{A}$, $i,j\in K$.
We have set $a*f=\sum f(a_{(1)})a_{(2)}$ and $f*a=\sum a_{(1)}f(a_{(2)})$. The set $\{\omega_i : i\in K\}$ is a free left module basis of $\varGamma$. Conversely, if $\{\omega_i : i\in K\}$ is a basis of a certain finite dimensional vector space $\varGamma_0$ and if v and f are matrices satisfying (ii) and (iii) then there exists a unique bicovariant bimodule $\varGamma$ such that $\varGamma_\ell=\varGamma_0$ and (i) holds. In this situation we simply write $\varGamma=(v,f)$.
Let $\varGamma=(v,f)$, $\varGamma_1=(v_1,f_1)$, and $\varGamma_2=(v_2,f_2)$ be bicovariant bimodules. It is easy to check that the tensor product of $\mathcal{A}$-bimodules $\varGamma_1\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\varGamma_2$ is also a bicovariant bimodule with bimodule structure, left coaction Δ_ℓ, and right coaction Δ_{r} defined by $a{\cdot}\alpha\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\beta{\cdot}b=a\alpha\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\beta b$, $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}(\alpha\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\beta)=\sum\alpha_{(0)}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\beta_{(0)}\otimes\alpha_{(1)}\beta_{(1)}$, and $\Delta_\ell(\alpha\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\beta)=\sum\alpha_{(-1)}\beta_{(-1)}\otimes\alpha_{(0)}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\beta_{(0)}$. The

corresponding pair of corepresentation and representation is $(v_1 \otimes v_2, f_1 \otimes f_2)$. Similarly, $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes k} = \mathit{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathit{\Gamma}$ (k factors), $k \geq 2$, and $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes k}$, where $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes 0} = \mathcal{A}$ (by definition, $\Delta_\ell = \Delta_{\mathrm{r}} = \Delta$ on $\mathcal{A}$), $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes 1} = \mathit{\Gamma}$, are bicovariant bimodules. Note that $\mathit{\Gamma}_\ell$ becomes a right module via $\rho \triangleleft a = \sum Sa_{(1)} \rho a_{(2)}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover $(\mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes})_\ell$ is right module algebra.

The shuffle decomposition. Let $\mathcal{S}_k$ be the symmetric group on $\{1, \dots, k\}$ and let $s_i = (i, i+1) \in \mathcal{S}_k$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, denote the simple transposition that exchanges i and $i+1$. Each $w \neq 1$ in $\mathcal{S}_k$ can be written in the form $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$ for some $i_n \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$. If r is as small as possible call it the *length* of w, written $\ell(w)$, and call any expression of w as the product of r elements of $\{s_1, \dots, s_{k-1}\}$ a *reduced expression.* We use the following elementary property: $\ell(ws_i) \in \{\ell(w) - 1, \ell(w) + 1\}$, $w \in \mathcal{S}_k$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. The elements of $\mathcal{C}_{ki} = \{p \in \mathcal{S}_k \colon p(m) < p(n) \text{ for } 1 \leq m < n \leq i \text{ and } i+1 \leq m < n \leq k\}$ are called *shuffle* permutations. Each $p \in \mathcal{S}_k$ admits a unique representation $p = p_1 p_2 p_3$ where $p_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{ki}$, and $p_2 \in \mathcal{S}_k$ (resp. $p_3 \in \mathcal{S}_k$) leaves $i+1, \dots, k$ (resp. $1, \dots, i$) fixed. Moreover $\ell(p) = \ell(p_1) + \ell(p_2) + \ell(p_3)$.

Lift into braids. Artin's braid group $\mathcal{B}_k$ has generators $b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}$ and defining relations

$$b_i b_{i+1} b_i = b_{i+1} b_i b_{i+1}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, k-2, \tag{1}$$

$$b_i b_j = b_j b_i, \qquad |i - j| \geq 2. \tag{2}$$

The map $b_i \mapsto s_i$ defines a natural projection of $\mathcal{B}_k$ onto $\mathcal{S}_k$. For a reduced expression $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$ define $b_w = b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_r}$. By (1) and (2), the definition of b_w does not depend on the choice of the reduced expression $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$. Obviously, $b_{vw} = b_v b_w$ for $\ell(vw) = \ell(v) + \ell(w)$. This equation in particular applies to the shuffle decomposition $w = p_1 p_2 p_3$ of w: $b_w = b_{p_1} b_{p_2} b_{p_3}$. Define the antisymmetrizer and shuffle sums in the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{B}_k$ as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{A}_k = \textstyle\sum_{w \in \mathcal{S}_k} (-1)^{\ell(w)} b_w, \qquad \boldsymbol{A}_{ki} = \textstyle\sum_{w \in \mathcal{C}_{ki}} (-1)^{\ell(w)} b_w. \tag{3}$$

By the shuffle decomposition we obtain for $1 \leq i < k$, $\boldsymbol{A}_1 = 1$:

$$\boldsymbol{A}_k = \boldsymbol{A}_{ki} (\boldsymbol{A}_i \otimes \boldsymbol{A}_{k-i}). \tag{4}$$

Let $w_\circ$ denote the longest word in $\mathcal{S}_k$. This permutation maps $(1, \dots, k)$ into $(k, \dots, 1)$. For a reduced expression $w = s_{n_1} \cdots s_{n_r}$ define $\overline{w} = s_{\overline{n_1}} \cdots s_{\overline{n_r}}$, where $\overline{n} = k - n$. Obviously, $\overline{w}$ does not depend on the choice of the reduced expression. Using $s_n w_\circ = w_\circ s_{k-n}$ and $\ell(\overline{w}) = \ell(w)$ one checks

$$b_w b_{w_\circ} = b_{w_\circ} b_{\overline{w}} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{A}_k b_{w_\circ} = b_{w_\circ} \boldsymbol{A}_k. \tag{5}$$

Now we recall the construction of the external algebra ${}_W\mathit{\Gamma}^\wedge$ due to Woronowicz [26, Proposition 3.1]. There exists a unique isomorphism $\sigma \colon \mathit{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathit{\Gamma} \to \mathit{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathit{\Gamma}$, of bicovariant bimodules called the *braiding* with $\sigma(\alpha \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \beta) = \beta \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathit{\Gamma}_\ell$, $\beta \in \mathit{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Moreover σ fulfils the braid equation (1), i. e. $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2$ in $\mathit{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathit{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathit{\Gamma}$, where $\sigma_1 = \sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}$ and $\sigma_2 = \mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma$. Consequently, the map $\gamma(b_i) = \sigma_i$, where $\sigma_i = \mathrm{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma \otimes \cdots \mathrm{id}$ acts in position $(i, i+1)$ of $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes k}$, can be extended to an algebra homomorphism $\gamma \colon \mathbb{C}\mathcal{B}_k \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes k})$. We briefly write $\sigma_w = \gamma(b_w)$, $w \in \mathcal{S}_k$. Let $A_k = \gamma(\boldsymbol{A}_k)$ and $A_{ki} = \gamma(\boldsymbol{A}_{ki})$. Call A_k *Woronowicz' antisymmetrizer* of $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes k}$. By (4), ${}_WJ = \bigoplus_{k \geq 2} \ker A_k$ is a two-sided ideal and a bicovariant bimodule. Hence ${}_W\mathit{\Gamma}^\wedge = \mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes} / {}_WJ$ is an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded algebra and a bicovariant bimodule over $\mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes k}$ is a free left $\mathcal{A}$-module we always identify the linear spaces $(\mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes k})_\ell$ and $\mathit{\Gamma}_\ell \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathit{\Gamma}_\ell$ (k factors). Since σ is a morphism of left comodules, it maps $(\mathit{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathit{\Gamma})_\ell$ into itself. The corresponding matrix form is $\sigma(\theta_i \otimes \theta_j) = \sum_{m,n} \sigma_{ij}^{mn} \theta_m \otimes \theta_n$, $i, j \in K$, with

$$\sigma_{ij}^{mn} = f_n^i(v_j^m). \tag{6}$$

Recall that an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded algebra $H = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H^n$ is called $\mathbb{N}_0$*-graded super Hopf algebra* if the product in $H \otimes H$ is given by $(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) = (-1)^{ij} ac \otimes bd$, $b \in H^i$, $c \in H^j$, a, $d \in H$, and there are linear mappings Δ, ε, and S of degree 0 called coproduct, counit and antipode, respectively, such that the usual Hopf algebra axioms are fulfilled. Let $\mathit{\Gamma}$ be a bicovariant bimodule over $\mathcal{A}$. The Hopf algebra structure of $\mathcal{A}$ uniquely extends to an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded super Hopf algebra structure on $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes}$ such that for $\omega \in \mathit{\Gamma}$: $\Delta(\omega) = \Delta_\ell(\omega) + \Delta_{\mathrm{r}}(\omega)$, $\varepsilon(\omega) = 0$, and $S(\omega) = -\sum S(\omega_{(-1)})\omega_{(0)}S(\omega_{(1)})$, see [11, Proposition 13.7]. The antipode is a graded anti-homomorphism i. e.

$$S(\rho_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \rho_2) = (-1)^{kn} S\rho_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} S\rho_1 \quad \text{for} \quad \rho_1 \in \mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes k},\ \rho_2 \in \mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes n}. \tag{7}$$

Moreover ${}_sJ$ and ${}_WJ$ are Hopf ideals in $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes}$, see [11, p. 489 before Proposition 13.9 and Proposition 13.10], and so is ${}_uJ$, see [11, Theorem 14.8]. Hence, ${}_u\mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge}$, ${}_s\mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge}$, and ${}_W\mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge}$ are $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded super Hopf algebras. We write $\alpha\beta$ and $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge k}$ instead of $\alpha \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \beta$ and $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes k}$, respectively, when dealing with one of these quotients $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes}/J$. Let B be an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded algebra, $B = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} B_k$, then the formal power series $P(B,t) = \sum_{k\geq 0} (\dim B_k)\, t^k$, is called *Poincaré series* of B.

Bicovariant Differential Calculus. A *first order differential calculus* over $\mathcal{A}$ abbreviated FODC is an $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule $\mathit{\Gamma}$ with a linear mapping $\mathrm{d}\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathit{\Gamma}$ that satisfies the Leibniz rule $\mathrm{d}(ab) = \mathrm{d}a{\cdot}b + a{\cdot}\mathrm{d}b$ for a, $b \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\mathit{\Gamma}$ is the linear span of elements $a\mathrm{d}b$ with $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.
A *differential graded algebra* over $\mathcal{A}$ is an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded algebra $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \mathit{\Gamma}^n$, $\mathit{\Gamma}^0 = \mathcal{A}$, with a linear mapping $\mathrm{d}\colon \mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge} \to \mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge}$ of degree 1 such that $\mathrm{d}^2 = 0$, and d satisfies the graded Leibniz rule $\mathrm{d}(\rho_1\rho_2) = \mathrm{d}\rho_1{\cdot}\rho_2 + (-1)^n \rho_1{\cdot}\mathrm{d}\rho_2$, $\rho_1 \in \mathit{\Gamma}^n$, $\rho_2 \in \mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge}$. If in addition $\mathit{\Gamma}^n = \mathcal{A}{\cdot}\mathrm{d}\mathcal{A} \cdots \mathrm{d}\mathcal{A}$ (n factors), $n \in \mathbb{N}$, call $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge}$ a *differential calculus.* A differential calculus $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge}$ is called *bicovariant* if there exist linear mappings $\Delta_\ell\colon \mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge} \to \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge}$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}\colon \mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge} \to \mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ of degree 0 with $\Delta_\ell \restriction \mathcal{A} = \Delta_{\mathrm{r}} \restriction \mathcal{A} = \Delta$ such that
(i) $(\mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge}, \Delta_\ell, \Delta_{\mathrm{r}})$ is a bicovariant bimodule, and
(ii) $\Delta_\ell(\mathrm{d}\rho) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{d})\Delta_\ell(\rho)$, and $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{d}\rho) = (\mathrm{d} \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}(\rho)$ for $\rho \in \mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge}$.
A differential calculus is called *inner* if there exists an element $\rho \in \mathit{\Gamma}^1$ such that $\mathrm{d}\rho_n = \rho\rho_n - (-1)^n \rho_n\rho$, $\rho_n \in \mathit{\Gamma}^n$.
A FODC $\mathit{\Gamma}$ is called *bicovariant* (resp. *inner*) if the differential calculus $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathit{\Gamma}$ is bicovariant (resp. inner). Let $(\mathit{\Gamma}_i, \mathrm{d}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be differential graded algebras over $\mathcal{A}$. Then $(\mathit{\Gamma}_1 \otimes \mathit{\Gamma}_2, \mathrm{d}_\otimes)$ becomes a differential graded algebra over $\mathcal{A}$ if the product in $\mathit{\Gamma}_1 \otimes \mathit{\Gamma}_2$ is defined by $(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2)(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2) = (-1)^{ij} \omega_1\rho_1 \otimes \omega_2\rho_2$, $\omega_2 \in \mathit{\Gamma}_2^i$, $\rho_1 \in \mathit{\Gamma}_1^j$, $\omega_1 \in \mathit{\Gamma}_1$, $\rho_2 \in \mathit{\Gamma}_2$, and the differential $\mathrm{d}_\otimes$ is given by

$$\mathrm{d}_\otimes(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2) = \mathrm{d}_1\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 + (-1)^i \omega_1 \otimes \mathrm{d}_2\omega_2, \quad \omega_1 \in \mathit{\Gamma}_1^i,\ \omega_2 \in \mathit{\Gamma}_2.$$

A *differential Hopf algebra* is both a differential graded algebra with differentiation d and an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded super Hopf algebra with coproduct Δ satisfying the condition $\mathrm{d}_\otimes\Delta = \Delta\mathrm{d}$.
Our main objects ${}_W\mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge}$, ${}_s\mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge}$, and ${}_u\mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge}$ are differential Hopf algebras, see [11, Theorem 14.17 and Theorem 14.18] for details.

Associated right ideal, the mappings ω and $\mathscr{S}$. According to [26, Theorems 1.5 and 1.8] there is a one-to-one correspondence between bicovariant first order differential calculi $\mathit{\Gamma}$ over $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathrm{Ad_r}$-invariant right ideals $\mathcal{R}$ in $\ker\varepsilon$ given by $\mathcal{R} = \ker\varepsilon \cap \ker\omega$. A crucial role play the two mappings $\omega\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathit{\Gamma}_\ell$, $\omega(a) = \sum Sa_{(1)}\mathrm{d}a_{(2)}$, and $\mathscr{S}\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathit{\Gamma}_\ell \otimes \mathit{\Gamma}_\ell$, $\mathscr{S}(a) = \sum \omega(a_{(1)}) \otimes \omega(a_{(2)})$. Note that ${}_uJ$ is the ideal in $\mathit{\Gamma}^\otimes$ generated by $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$. Both ω and $\mathscr{S}$ intertwine the right adjoint coaction $\mathrm{Ad_r}$ with the right coaction Δ_r on $\mathit{\Gamma}_\ell$ and $\mathit{\Gamma}_\ell \otimes \mathit{\Gamma}_\ell$, resp. The mappings ω and $\mathscr{S}$ are coupled by the Maurer-Cartan equation, see [11, Proposition 14.13]:

$$\mathrm{d}\omega(a) = -\textstyle\sum_{(a)} \omega(a_{(1)})\omega(a_{(2)}),\ a \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{8}$$

Bicovariant Differential Calculus on quantized simple Lie groups. Throughout the deformation parameter q is assumed to be a *transcendental* number, and $N \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the bialgebra $\mathcal{A}(R)$ or one of the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{O}(GL_q(N))$ and $\mathcal{O}(SL_q(N))$ as defined in [20, Subsect. 1.3]. Recall that R denotes the complex invertible $N^2 \times N^2$-matrix $R^{ab}_{rs} = \hat{R}^{ba}_{rs}$, where $\hat{R}$ is given in (9). The N^2 generators of $\mathcal{A}$ are denoted by u^i_j, $i,\, j = 1, \dots, N$, and we call $u = (u^i_j)_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$ the *fundamental matrix corepresentation.* As an algebra $\mathcal{O}(GL_q(N))$ is generated by the elements u^i_j and an additional central element $\mathcal{T}$ satisfying $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}\mathcal{D} = 1$, where $\mathcal{D} = \sum_{p\in\mathcal{S}_N} (-q)^{\ell(p)} u^1_{p(1)} \cdots u^N_{p(N)}$ denotes the quantum determinant. The element $U = \sum_{i=1}^N q^{-2i} u^i_i$ is called quantum trace. We abbreviate $\hat{q} = q - q^{-1}$ and $\check{q} = q + q^{-1}$. The $\hat{R}$-matrix is given by

$$\hat{R}^{ab}_{rs} = q^{\delta_{ab}} \delta_{as}\delta_{br} + \hat{q}h(b-a)\delta_{ar}\delta_{bs}, \tag{9}$$

$a,\, b,\, r,\, s = 1, \dots, N$, where h denotes the Heaviside symbol $h(x) = 1$ for $x > 0$ and $h(x) = 0$ for $x \leq 0$. The matrix $\hat{R}$ can be written as $\hat{R} = qP_+ - q^{-1}P_-$, where $P_\pm = \check{q}^{-1}(q^{\mp 1}I \pm \hat{R})$ are projections.

We follow the method of [10] and [3] to construct bicovariant FODC on quantizations of simple Lie groups. For a nonzero complex number $x \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ let $\ell^\pm_x = ((\ell^\pm_x)^i_j)$ be the $N \times N$-matrix of linear functionals $(\ell^\pm_x)^i_j$ on $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(GL_q(N))$ as defined in in [20, Sect. 2]. Recall that $\ell^\pm_x$ is uniquely determined by $\ell^{\pm\, i}_{x\;\, j}(u^m_n) = x^{\mp 1}(\hat{R}^{\pm 1})^{im}_{nj}$ and the property that $\ell^\pm_x\colon \mathcal{A} \to M_N(\mathbb{C})$ is a unital algebra homomorphism. For $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(SL_q(N))$ we must assume $x^N = q$. Define the bicovariant bimodules $\mathit{\Gamma}_{\tau,z}$, $\tau \in \{+,-\}$, where $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ is arbitrary in case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(GL_q(N))$ and $z^N = q^{-2\tau}$ in case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(SL_q(N))$:

$$\mathit{\Gamma}_{+,z} = (u^\mathrm{c} \otimes u, \ell^+_x \otimes \ell^{-,\mathrm{c}}_y), \quad xy = z^{-1}, \quad \mathit{\Gamma}_{-,z} = (u^\mathrm{c} \otimes u, \ell^-_x \otimes \ell^{+,\mathrm{c}}_y), \quad xy = z. \tag{10}$$

The structure of $\mathit{\Gamma}_{\pm,z}$ can easily be described as follows. There exists a basis $\{\theta^i_j : i,\, j = 1, \dots, N\}$ of $(\mathit{\Gamma}_{\pm,z})_\ell$ such that the right action and the right coaction are given by

$$\theta^i_j a = \sum_{m,n} (\ell^{\pm\, i}_{\;\;m} S\ell^{\mp\, n}_{\;\;\;j} * a)\theta^m_n, \quad a \in \mathcal{A} \tag{11}$$

and

$$\Delta_\mathrm{r}\theta^i_j = \sum_{m,n} \theta^m_n \otimes (u^\mathrm{c})^m_i u^n_j, \quad i,\, j = 1, \dots, N. \tag{12}$$

The element $\theta = \sum_i q^{-2i}\theta^i_i$ is the unique up to scalars bi-invariant element. Defining

$$\mathrm{d}a = \theta a - a\theta \tag{13}$$

for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $(\mathit{\Gamma}_{\pm,z}, \mathrm{d})$ becomes a bicovariant FODC over $\mathcal{A}$. The braiding σ of $\mathit{\Gamma}_{\pm,z}$ can be obtained as follows. Inserting $v = u^{\mathsf{c}} \otimes u$ and $f = \ell^{\pm}_x \otimes \ell^{\mp,\mathsf{c}}_y$ into (6) yields

$$\sigma_{\pm} = \acute{R}^{\pm}_{23}\check{R}^{\mp 1}_{12}\hat{R}^{\pm 1}_{34}(\acute{R}^{\pm}_{23})^{-1}, \tag{14}$$

where the complex $N^2 \times N^2$-matrices $\acute{R}^{\pm}$ and $\check{R}$ are defined as follows: $\check{R}^{ab}_{rs} = \hat{R}^{sr}_{ba}$ and $(\acute{R}^{\pm})^{ab}_{rs} = (\hat{R}^{\pm 1})^{ra}_{sb}$. The indices 12, 23, and 34 indicate that the corresponding matrices act at positions $1,2$; $2,3$; and $3,4$ of the tensor product $V^{\otimes 4}$, $V = \mathbb{C}^N$. Note that the braiding σ of $\mathit{\Gamma}_{\tau,z}$ does not depend on z. Let $r_+ = q^{-1}\hat{q}$ and $r_- = -q^{-2N-1}\hat{q}$. We often write σ_τ, r_τ, $\hat{R}^\tau$, and $\acute{R}^\tau$ instead of $\sigma_\pm$, $r_\pm$, $\hat{R}^{\pm 1}$, and $\acute{R}^{\pm}$, respectively. Set $\mathfrak{s} = q^{-2} + q^{-4} + \dots + q^{-2N}$, $\mathfrak{r}_\tau = \mathfrak{s} + r_\tau$, and $\mathfrak{n}_{\tau,z} = z\mathfrak{r}_\tau - \mathfrak{s}$. Corresponding to $\mathit{\Gamma}_{\tau,z}$ the parameter value z is called *regular* if $\mathfrak{n}_{\tau,z} \neq 0$. For regular z the differentials $\mathrm{d}u^i_j$ generate $\mathit{\Gamma}_{\tau,z}$ as a left $\mathcal{A}$-module. Equivalently, the linear space $\langle \omega_{ij} : i,\, j = 1, \dots, N\rangle$ of Maurer-Cartan forms $\omega_{ij} = \omega(u^i_j)$ is N^2-dimensional. The right ideal $\mathcal{R}_{\tau,z}$ then satisfies

$$\mathcal{R}_{\tau,z} \oplus \langle u^i_j : i, j = 1, \dots, N\rangle \oplus \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{A}, \tag{15}$$

see [22, Lemma 1.5] and [23, Theorem 2.1]. For $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(SL_q(N))$, $\mathit{\Gamma} = \mathit{\Gamma}_{\tau,z}$, $z^N = q^{-2\tau}$, the condition $\mathfrak{n}_{\tau,z} \neq 0$ is trivially fulfilled since q is transcendental. Transformation formulas between θ^i_j, θ, and $\omega_{ij} = \omega(u^i_j)$, $\vartheta = \sum_i q^{-2i}\omega^i_i$, are given as follows. Using matrix notation and leg numbering for the matrices $\mathit{\Omega} = (\omega_{ij})$, $\mathit{\Theta} = (\theta^i_j)$, and u, where $\mathit{\Theta}_1 = \mathit{\Theta} \otimes I$, $\mathit{\Theta}_2 = I \otimes \mathit{\Theta}$ and $u_2 = I \otimes u$, we have

$$\mathit{\Omega} = zr_\tau\mathit{\Theta} + (z-1)\theta I \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta = \mathfrak{n}_{\tau,z}\theta. \tag{16}$$

Inserting $a = (u^k_l)$ into (11) gives

$$\theta^i_j \triangleleft u^k_l = z\sum_{x,m,n}(\hat{R}^\tau)^{ik}_{xm}\theta^m_n(\hat{R}^\tau)^{xn}_{jl}, \qquad \theta \triangleleft u^i_j = zr_\tau\theta^i_j + z\theta\delta_{ij},$$

or in matrix notation

$$\mathit{\Theta}_1 \triangleleft u_2 = z\hat{R}^\tau\mathit{\Theta}_2\hat{R}^\tau, \qquad \theta \triangleleft u = zr_\tau\mathit{\Theta} + z\theta I. \tag{17}$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be one of the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{O}(SL_q(N))$ or $\mathcal{O}(GL_q(N))$ and let $\mathit{\Gamma}$ be one of the N^2-dimensional bicovariant first order differential calculi $\mathit{\Gamma}_{\tau,z}$, $\tau \in \{+,-\}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, over $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose q to be transcendental. Let ${}_W\mathit{\Gamma}^\wedge$ denote Woronowicz' external algebra and let ${}_W\mathit{\Gamma}^\wedge_\ell$ denote its subalgebra of left-invariant forms.*
Then the Poincaré series of ${}_W\mathit{\Gamma}^\wedge_\ell$ is

$$P({}_W\mathit{\Gamma}^\wedge_\ell, t) = (1+t)^{N^2},$$

i. e. $\dim({}_W\mathit{\Gamma}^{\wedge k}_\ell) = \binom{N^2}{k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. *In particular, there exists a unique up to scalars left invariant form of maximal degree N^2.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$, Γ, and q be as in Theorem 3.1. Let ${}_W\Gamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge}$ denote the subalgebra of ${}_W\Gamma^{\wedge}$ consisting of all bi-invariant forms. Then*
(i) $P({}_W\Gamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge}, t) = (1+t)(1+t^3)\cdots(1+t^{2N-1})$.
(ii) $\omega_k \wedge \omega_n = (-1)^{kn}\,\omega_n \wedge \omega_k$, *for* $\omega_k \in {}_W\Gamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge k}$ *and* $\omega_n \in {}_W\Gamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge n}$.
(iii) $\mathrm{d}\omega = 0$ *for* $\omega \in {}_W\Gamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge}$.
(iv) *Different bi-invariant forms represent different de Rham cohomology classes.*

Remark 1. By (i) the dimension $\dim({}_W\Gamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge k})$ is equal to the number of partitions of k into a sum of pairwise different positive odd integers less than $2N$. It is also equal to the number of symmetric partitions λ of k, $\lambda = \lambda'$, with $\lambda_1 \leq N$. The left-invariant form of maximal degree N^2 is bi-invariant.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$, Γ, and q be as in Theorem 3.1. Let ${}_u\Gamma^{\wedge}$ and ${}_s\Gamma^{\wedge}$ denote the universal differential calculus and the second antisymmetrizer differential calculus over Γ, respectively. Then we have the following isomorphisms of differential Hopf algebras.*
(i) ${}_s\Gamma^{\wedge} \cong {}_W\Gamma^{\wedge}$.
(ii) ${}_u\Gamma^{\wedge} \cong {}_s\Gamma^{\wedge}$ *for* $N \geq 3$, $\mathfrak{n}_{\tau,z} \neq 0$ *and for* $N = 2$, $\tau = +$, *and*
$z \neq q^{-1}, -q^{-1}, (q^2+1)(q^4+1)^{-1}$.
(iii) ${}_s\Gamma^{\wedge} \cong {}_u\Gamma^{\wedge}/(\theta^2)$ *for* $N = 2$, $\tau = +$, *and* $z^2 = q^{-2}$. *Moreover, the universal differential calculus ${}_u\Gamma^{\wedge}$ is inner and θ^2 is central.*

Remark 2. For $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(GL_q(n|m))$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\pm,1}$, (ii) was proved in [12].
Since the differential calculi $\Gamma_{+,z}$ and $\Gamma_{-,z}$ are isomorphic for $N = 2$ it suffices to consider $\Gamma_{+,z}$. In case of the quantum group $SL_q(2)$, the parameter $z = q^{-1}$ (resp. $z = -q^{-1}$) corresponds to the $4D_+$-calculus (resp. $4D_-$-calculus), defined in [26].
The calculus ${}_s\Gamma^{\wedge}$ is also inner since $\theta^2 = 0$, see Lemma 6.2 (ii) below.

4. Iwahori-Hecke algebra and Antisymmetrizer

In this subsection we shall give the definition of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra $H_k(q)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and we shall list some of their important properties and facts including an explicit formula for the central idempotents. Since q is not a root of unity everything goes through in exactly the same fashion as in the case of the base field $\mathbb{C}(q)$. We take the definition from [9, Sects. 7.1 and 7.4].
There is a unique structure of an associative unital algebra on the vector space with basis $\{T_w : w \in \mathcal{S}_k\}$ and $T_1 = 1$ such that for all $s \in \{s_1, \ldots, s_{k-1}\}$ and $w \in \mathcal{S}_k$

$$T_sT_w = T_{sw} \qquad \text{if} \quad \ell(sw) > \ell(w), \tag{18}$$

$$T_sT_w = (q-q^{-1})T_w + T_{sw} \quad \text{if} \quad \ell(sw) < \ell(w). \tag{19}$$

This algebra is called *Iwahori-Hecke algebra of type* A_{k-1} and is denoted by $H_k(q)$. Instead of (19) one often takes $T_sT_w = (q-1)T_w + qT_{sw}$. The present form is more useful when dealing with quantum groups. We briefly write T_i for T_{s_i}. The relations (1), (2) with T_i instead of b_i and

$$T_i^2 = \hat{q}T_i + 1, \quad i = 1, \ldots, k-1, \tag{20}$$

are equivalent to (18) and (19).

We shall adopt the notations in [13] for partitions, compositions, characters, and idempotents. We call $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ a *partition* of k if $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots = k$ and $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq 0$ are integers. We briefly write $\lambda \vdash k$. Sometimes we use the notation $\lambda = (k^{m_k}(k-1)^{m_{k-1}} \cdots 1^{m_1})$ where m_i denotes the number of parts of λ equal to i. The *conjugate* of a partition λ is a partition λ' whose diagram is the transpose of the diagram of λ. Hence λ_i' is the number of boxes in the ith column of λ, or equivalently $\lambda_i' = \operatorname{Card}\{j\colon \lambda_j \geq i\}$.

Irreducible representations of $H_k(q)$ are labelled by partitions λ of k. The dimension of the irreducible representation labelled by λ is $d_\lambda = \frac{k!}{h(\lambda)}$. Here $h(\lambda) = \prod_{x\in\lambda} h(x)$ is the product of *hook-lengths* $h(x) = \lambda_i + \lambda_j' - i - j + 1$, where $x = (i,j)$ is a box of λ in position (i,j), see [13, I. 6, Example 2 (a) and I. 7 (7.6)]. The corresponding irreducible character is denoted by χ^λ.

A *partition of unity* is a set of minimal (primitive) idempotents $\{p_\lambda^i\}$, $\lambda \vdash k$, $1 \leq i \leq d_\lambda$, such that $p_\lambda^i p_\mu^j = \delta_{\lambda\mu}\delta_{ij}$. The element $z_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\lambda} p_\lambda^i$ is the minimal *central* idempotent associated to λ. We have $1 = \sum_\lambda z_\lambda$. For the remainder of this section fix positive integers k and N.

An N*-composition* of k is a sequence of nonnegative integers $c = (c_1, \dots, c_N)$, $c_i \geq 0$, such that $c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_N = k$. It is denoted by $c \models k$. For any N-composition $c = (c_1, \dots, c_N)$ of k define $x^c = x_1^{c_1} \cdots x_N^{c_N}$, where $x_1, \dots, x_N$ are commuting variables. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ be a basis of the vector space $V = \mathbb{C}^N$. For $v = e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k} \in V^{\otimes k}$ define the *content* $c(v)$, $c(v) = (c_1, \dots, c_N)$, where c_j is the number of factors e_j in v. Obviously, $c(v) \models k$. For $c \models k$ define the projection operator E_c of $V^{\otimes k}$ by $E_c(v) = \delta_{c,c(v)} v$ for $v = e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}$. One easily verifies $E_c E_{c'} = \delta_{c,c'} E_c$, $c, c' \models k$, and $I = \sum_{c\models k} E_c$.

We introduce two important involutions * and ${}'$ of $H_k(q)$. They are defined on generators by $T_s^* = T_s$ and $T_s' = -T_s^{-1}$, respectively. Both mappings can be extended to antihomomorphisms of $H_k(q)$. Note that $T_w^* = T_{w^{-1}}$ and $T_w' = (-1)^{\ell(w)} T_w^{-1}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\lambda \vdash k$ be a partition of k. Then $z_\lambda' = z_{\lambda'}$ and $z_\lambda^* = z_\lambda$.*

Proof. (a) The central idempotent $z_{(k)}$ (resp. $z_{(1^k)}$) is completely characterized by the property $T_i z_{(k)} = q z_{(k)}$ (resp. $T_i z_{(1^k)} = -q^{-1} z_{(1^k)}$), $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. Since any involution of a central idempotent is again a central idempotent, $T_i z_{(k)}' = -(z_{(k)} T_i^{-1})' = -q^{-1} z_{(k)}'$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, implies $z_{(k)}' = z_{(1^k)}$. Since the anti-automorphism ${}'$ is of order two, $z_{(1^k)}' = z_{(k)}$. The proof of $z_{(k)}^* = z_{(k)}$ and $z_{(1^k)}^* = z_{(1^k)}$ is similar.

(b) Suppose we are given an admissible Young tableau with diagram $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$. Let $\lambda' = (\lambda_1', \dots, \lambda_s')$ be the transposed diagram. In [5] there is constructed a minimal idempotent $p_\lambda = h_- e_- h_-^{-1} h_+ e_+ h_+^{-1}$, where $e_- = A_{\lambda_1'} \times \cdots \times A_{\lambda_s'}$, $e_+ = S_{\lambda_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\lambda_r}$. Here $A_j = z_{(1^j)}$ and $S_j = z_{(j)}$ denote the jth antisymmetrizer and the jth symmetrizer in $H_j(q)$, respectively, and we use the natural embeddings $H_{n_1}(q) \times \cdots \times H_{n_r}(q) \to H_k(q)$ for $n \models k$. The element $h_\pm$ depends on the entries of the Young tableau. By (a), $e_-' = S_{\lambda_1'} \times \cdots \times S_{\lambda_s'}$ and $e_+' = A_{\lambda_1} \times \cdots \times A_{\lambda_r}$. Since p_λ is a minimal subidempotent of z_λ, p_λ' is a minimal subidempotent of $z_{\lambda'}$. Since $z_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\lambda} p_\lambda^i$ and $(p_\lambda^i)'(p_\lambda^j)' = \delta_{ij}(p_\lambda^i)'$, z_λ' is a subidempotent of $z_{\lambda'}$. Moreover, z_λ' is non-zero and a minimal central idempotent. Hence, $z_\lambda' = z_{\lambda'}$. The proof for * is similar. □

Next define representations ϱ and ϱ_{c} of $H_k(q)$ on $V^{\otimes k}$. The action of the generator T_n on a basis element $v = e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}$ is given as follows. Let s_n denote the flip operator in

$V^{\otimes k}$ that interchanges the nth and $(n+1)$st component of the tensor product. Let

$$\varrho(T_n)v = \begin{cases} qv & \text{if} \quad i_n = i_{n+1}, \\ \hat{q}v + s_n(v) & \text{if} \quad i_n < i_{n+1}, \\ s_n(v) & \text{if} \quad i_n > i_{n+1}. \end{cases}$$

The representation ϱ_{c} is given by $\varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(T_n) = s_n \varrho(T_n) s_n$. Using matrix notation and leg numbering, $\varrho(T_n) = \hat{R}_{n,n+1}$. The representation ϱ_{c} associates to a single generator T the matrix $\check{R} = (\check{R}^{ij}_{kl}) = (\hat{R}^{lk}_{ji})$. Both representations ϱ and ϱ_{c} of $H_k(q)$ commute with E_c since they do not change the content of the tensor $e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}$.
We introduce a trace functional Tr on $H_k(q)$ that takes values in the algebra of polynomials $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$. The following Proposition is due to Ram, see [19, Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 3.8].

Proposition 4.2. (i) *The mapping* $\mathrm{Tr}\colon H_k(q) \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(h) = \sum_{c \models k} x^c \, \mathrm{tr}(E_c \varrho(h)) \tag{21}$$

defines a trace functional, i. e. $\mathrm{Tr}(hg) = \mathrm{Tr}(gh)$ *for all* $h, g \in H_k(q)$.
(ii) *Let* $p \in H_k(q)$ *be idempotent. Then* $\mathrm{Tr}(p)$ *does not depend on* q. *In particular*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(p^i_\lambda) = s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_N), \tag{22}$$

where $\lambda \vdash k$ *is a partition of* k, $\{p^i_\lambda\}$ *is a partition of unity, and* s_λ *denotes the Schur function, cf.* [13, I (3.1), p. 40].
(iii) *For* $h \in H_k(q)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}(h) &= \sum_{\lambda \vdash k} \chi^\lambda(h) s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_N), \\ \mathrm{Tr}(h z_\lambda) &= \chi^\lambda(h) s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_N). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Remark 3. The proof of [19, Lemma 3.5] shows that replacing ϱ by ϱ_{c} in (21) does not change Tr.

For the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}_k$ we have $z_\lambda = \frac{d_\lambda}{k!} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{S}_k} \chi^\lambda(w) w^{-1}$. We will show now that a similar formula holds for $H_k(q)$. The existence of an associative, symmetric, and non-degenerate bilinear form on $H_k(q)$ is essential for the proof of this formula. Let $\{T_w : w \in \mathcal{S}_k\}$ and $\{f^w : w \in \mathcal{S}_k\}$ be dual bases of $H_k(q)$ and its dual vector space, respectively. Let f^0 denote the coordinate functional corresponding to the unit element $1 \in H_k(q)$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $g, h \in H_k(q)$. *Then* $\langle g, h \rangle = f^0(gh)$ *defines a symmetric, associative, and non-degenerate bilinear form on* $H_k(q)$. *Moreover*

$$\langle T_v, T_w \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & \textit{if } vw = 1 \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{$*$}$$

Proof. For the right hand side of $(*)$ we use the Kronecker symbol $\delta_{vw,1}$. The associativity of the pairing follows from the definition. Suppose for a moment that formula $(*)$ is already proved. Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is symmetric since $vw = 1$ if and only if $wv = 1$, and f^0 is linear. The pairing is non-degenerate since $\{T_w : w \in \mathcal{S}_k\}$ and $\{T_{w^{-1}} : w \in \mathcal{S}_k\}$ are orthogonal with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ bases. We prove $(*)$ by induction on the length of w. By definition

$\langle T_v, 1\rangle = f^0(T_v) = \delta_{v,1}$, and $(*)$ holds for $w = 1$. Suppose it is true for all $v, w' \in \mathcal{S}_k$ with $\ell(w') \le \ell(w)$. Let $w = sw'$, $\ell(w) = \ell(w') + 1$, and v be arbitrary.
Case 1. $\ell(vs) = \ell(v) + 1$. By (18) and induction assumption $\langle T_v, T_w\rangle = f^0(T_vT_sT_{w'}) = f^0(T_{vs}T_{w'}) = \langle T_{vs}, T_{w'}\rangle = \delta_{vsw',1} = \delta_{vw,1}$.
Case 2. $\ell(vs) = \ell(v) - 1$. By (19) and induction assumption we have $\langle T_v, T_w\rangle = f^0(T_vT_sT_{w'}) = f^0\big((\hat{q}T_v + T_{vs})T_{w'}\big) = \hat{q}\langle T_v, T_{w'}\rangle + \langle T_{vs}, T_{w'}\rangle = \hat{q}\langle T_v, T_{w'}\rangle + \delta_{vw,1}$. We have to prove that $\langle T_v, T_{w'}\rangle = 0$ or equivalently, by induction assumption, $vw' \ne 1$. Assume to the contrary $vw' = 1$. Then $\ell(v) = \ell(w')$. Since also $vssw' = 1$, $\ell(vs) = \ell(sw') = \ell(w') + 1 = \ell(v) + 1$; that contradicts our assumption of case 2. Hence $vw' \ne 1$ and the proof is complete. □

Since there exists an associative, symmetric, and non-degenerate bilinear form on $H_k(q)$, Proposition (9.17), p. 204 in [2] applies to our situation. Namely, the central idempotent z_λ is given by

$$z_\lambda = \tfrac{d_\lambda}{t_\lambda} \sum_{w\in\mathcal{S}_k} \chi^\lambda(T_w)T_{w^{-1}}, \tag{24}$$

where $t_\lambda = \sum_{w\in\mathcal{S}_k} \chi^\lambda(T_w)\chi^\lambda(T_{w^{-1}})$ is nonzero. Moreover

$$t_\lambda = d_\lambda^{-1}\chi^\lambda(t) \quad \text{with} \quad t = \sum_{w\in\mathcal{S}_k} T_wT_{w^{-1}}. \tag{25}$$

Lemma 4.4. *The element* $t = \sum_{w\in\mathcal{S}_k} T_wT_{w^{-1}}$ *is central in* $H_k(q)$. *We have* $t = \sum_{\lambda\vdash k} t_\lambda z_\lambda$.

Proof. Fix $s = s_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ and set $L = \{w \in \mathcal{S}_k : \ell(sw) > \ell(w)\}$. Then $\mathcal{S}_k$ is the disjoint union of L and sL. Abbreviating $\widetilde{T}_w = T_wT_{w^{-1}}$, by (18) we have $\widetilde{T}_{sw} = T_s\widetilde{T}_wT_s$, $w \in L$. Moreover, by (20)

$$\begin{aligned} T_stT_s &= \sum_{w\in L\cup sL} T_s\widetilde{T}_wT_s = \sum_{w\in L}(T_s\widetilde{T}_wT_s + T_s^2\widetilde{T}_wT_s^2) = \sum_{w\in L}(T_s\widetilde{T}_wT_s + T_s^2\widetilde{T}_w(\hat{q}T_s + 1)) \\ &= \sum_{w\in L}(T_s\widetilde{T}_wT_s + (\hat{q}T_s + 1)\widetilde{T}_w + \hat{q}T_sT_s\widetilde{T}_wT_s) \\ &= \sum_{w\in L}(T_s\widetilde{T}_wT_s + \widetilde{T}_w) + \hat{q}T_s\sum_{w\in L}(\widetilde{T}_w + T_s\widetilde{T}_wT_s) = t + \hat{q}T_st. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the preceding equation from the left by T_s^{-1} and using $T_s^{-1} = T_s - \hat{q}$, we get $tT_s = T_st$. Hence, t is central. Applying χ^λ to the ansatz $t = \sum_{\mu\vdash k} \alpha_\mu z_\mu$, using $\chi^\lambda(z_\mu) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}d_\lambda$, and (25), we obtain $\alpha_\lambda = t_\lambda$. □

In our studies the algebra $\mathcal{H}_k(q) = H_k(q) \otimes H_k(q)$ plays the important role. The main object is the antisymmetrizer $\boldsymbol{a}_k$ in $\mathcal{H}_k(q)$. We define it as follows. Let the map $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ be defined on the generators of the braid group by $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(b_i) = T_i^{-1} \otimes T_i$. Since the elements of $\{\boldsymbol{\sigma}(b_i)\}$ satisfy the braid equation (1) and (2), $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ uniquely extends to a homomorphism of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{B}_k$ to $\mathcal{H}_k(q)$. One easily checks that $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_w := \boldsymbol{\sigma}(b_w) = (-1)^{\ell(w)}T_w^{*\prime} \otimes T_w$, $w \in \mathcal{S}_k$. Let $\boldsymbol{a}_k$ denote the image of the braid group antisymmetrizer (3):

$$\boldsymbol{a}_k = \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{A}_k) = \sum_{w\in\mathcal{S}_k} T_w' \otimes T_w^*. \tag{26}$$

Similarly, define $\boldsymbol{a}_{ki} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{A}_{ki})$ for all $i < k$. The basic result of this section is

Proposition 4.5. *The spectral decomposition of the antisymmetrizer* $\boldsymbol{a}_k \in \mathcal{H}_k(q)$ *is*

$$\boldsymbol{a}_k = \sum_{\lambda \vdash k} t_\lambda \pi_\lambda, \tag{27}$$

where

$$\pi_\lambda = {t_\lambda}^{-1} \boldsymbol{a}_k (z'_\lambda \otimes z_\lambda) \tag{28}$$

are mutually orthogonal idempotents in $\mathcal{H}_k(q)$. *Moreover*

$$(\mathrm{Tr} \otimes \mathrm{Tr})\pi_\lambda = s_{\lambda'}(x_1, \dots, x_N) s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_N). \tag{29}$$

Proof. The following formula is essential for the proof of $\pi_\lambda^2 = \pi_\lambda$:

$$\boldsymbol{a}_k(1 \otimes h) = \boldsymbol{a}_k(h' \otimes 1) \tag{30}$$

for $h \in H_k(q)$. We prove (30) in three steps. (a) $k = 2$ and $h = T = T_1$. By (20) and $T^{-2} = 1 - \hat{q} T^{-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{a}_2(1 \otimes T) &= (1 \otimes 1 - T^{-1} \otimes T)(1 \otimes T) = 1 \otimes T - T^{-1} \otimes (\hat{q} T + 1) \\ &= (1 - \hat{q} T^{-1}) \otimes T - T^{-1} \otimes 1 = (-T^{-1} \otimes T + 1 \otimes 1)(-T^{-1} \otimes 1) = \boldsymbol{a}_2(T' \otimes 1). \end{aligned}$$

(b) Now let $k > 2$. By (4), $\boldsymbol{a}_k = \boldsymbol{a}_{k,i+1}(\boldsymbol{a}_{i+1} \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_{k-i-1}) = \boldsymbol{a}_{k,i+1}(\boldsymbol{a}_{i+1,i-1}(\boldsymbol{a}_{i-1} \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_2) \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_{k-i-1}) = y(\boldsymbol{a}_{i-1} \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_{k-i-1})$ with $y = \boldsymbol{a}_{k,i+1}(\boldsymbol{a}_{i+1,i-1} \otimes 1)$; $\boldsymbol{a}_{i+1,i-1}$ acts in the first $i+1$ positions. Using (a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{a}_k(1 \otimes T_i) &= y(\boldsymbol{a}_{i-1} \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_{k-i-1})(1 \otimes T_i) = y(\boldsymbol{a}_{i-1} \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_2(1 \otimes T) \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_{k-i-1}) \\ &= y(\boldsymbol{a}_{i-1} \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_2(T' \otimes 1) \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_{k-i-1}) = \boldsymbol{a}_k(T'_i \otimes 1). \end{aligned}$$

(c) Suppose (30) is fulfilled for h and g in $H_k(q)$. Then it is valid for hg as well because $\boldsymbol{a}_k(1 \otimes hg) = \boldsymbol{a}_k(1 \otimes h)(1 \otimes g) = \boldsymbol{a}_k(h' \otimes 1)(1 \otimes g) = \boldsymbol{a}_k(h' \otimes g) = \boldsymbol{a}_k(1 \otimes g)(h' \otimes 1) = \boldsymbol{a}_k(g'h' \otimes 1) = \boldsymbol{a}_k((hg)' \otimes 1)$. Since T_i generate $H_k(q)$, the proof of (30) is complete.
We show that $\boldsymbol{a}_k(z'_\lambda \otimes z_\lambda)$ is essentially idempotent. Noting that $1 \otimes z_\lambda$ is central in $\mathcal{H}_k(q)$, using (30) several times, $z_\lambda^2 = z_\lambda$, (26), and Lemma 4.4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{a}_k(z'_\lambda \otimes z_\lambda))^2 &= \boldsymbol{a}_k(1 \otimes z_\lambda)\boldsymbol{a}_k(1 \otimes z_\lambda) = \boldsymbol{a}_k^2(1 \otimes z_\lambda) \\ &= \boldsymbol{a}_k \textstyle\sum_{w \in \mathcal{S}_k}(T'_w \otimes T^*_w z_\lambda) = \boldsymbol{a}_k \textstyle\sum_{w \in \mathcal{S}_k}(1 \otimes T_w T^*_w z_\lambda) \\ &= \boldsymbol{a}_k(1 \otimes t z_\lambda) = t_\lambda \boldsymbol{a}_k(1 \otimes z_\lambda) = t_\lambda \boldsymbol{a}_k(z'_\lambda \otimes z_\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, π_λ is idempotent. Using (30) again and $z_\lambda z_\mu = 0$ for $\lambda \neq \mu$, we have

$$\pi_\lambda \pi_\mu = t_\lambda^{-1} t_\mu^{-1} \boldsymbol{a}_k(1 \otimes z_\lambda)\boldsymbol{a}_k(1 \otimes z_\mu) = t_\lambda^{-1} t_\mu^{-1} \boldsymbol{a}_k^2(1 \otimes z_\lambda z_\mu) = 0,$$

proving that π_λ and π_μ are orthogonal. Since $1 = \sum_\lambda z_\lambda$, $\sum_\lambda \boldsymbol{a}_k(z'_\lambda \otimes z_\lambda) = \sum_\lambda \boldsymbol{a}_k(1 \otimes z_\lambda) = \boldsymbol{a}_k$, and (27) is proved.
To the last assertion. We briefly write x for the set of commuting variables $x_1, \dots, x_N$. Changing the role of w and w^{-1} in (24), applying then involution $'$, and using Lemma 4.1, we have $z_{\lambda'} = \frac{d_\lambda}{t_\lambda} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{S}_k} \chi^\lambda(T_{w^{-1}}) T'_w$. Applying $\chi^{\lambda'}$, dividing by $\chi^{\lambda'}(z_{\lambda'}) = d_{\lambda'} = d_\lambda$, multiplying

by $s_\lambda(x)s_{\lambda'}(x)$, and finally using (23) and (28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} s_\lambda(x)s_{\lambda'}(x) &= \tfrac{1}{t_\lambda}\textstyle\sum_{w\in\mathcal{S}_k}\chi^\lambda(T_{w^{-1}})\chi^{\lambda'}(T'_w)s_\lambda(x)s_{\lambda'}(x)\\ &= \tfrac{1}{t_\lambda}\textstyle\sum_{w\in\mathcal{S}_k}\operatorname{Tr}(T_{w^{-1}}z_\lambda)\operatorname{Tr}(T'_w z_{\lambda'})\\ &= (\operatorname{Tr}\otimes\operatorname{Tr})\big(\tfrac{1}{t_\lambda}\textstyle\sum_{w\in\mathcal{S}_k}(T'_w\otimes T_{w^{-1}})(z'_\lambda\otimes z_\lambda)\big)\\ &= (\operatorname{Tr}\otimes\operatorname{Tr})(\tfrac{1}{t_\lambda}\boldsymbol{a}_k z'_\lambda\otimes z_\lambda) = (\operatorname{Tr}\otimes\operatorname{Tr})\pi_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4. The explicit formula for t_λ is $t_\lambda = k!q^{c(\lambda)}\frac{H_q(\lambda)}{h(\lambda)}$, where $H_q(\lambda) = \hat{q}^{-1}\prod_{x\in\lambda}(q^{h(x)} - q^{-h(x)})$ and $c(\lambda) = \sum_{(i,j)\in\lambda}(j-i)$, see [6, (1.6)].

5. COREPRESENTATIONS OF $\mathcal{O}(GL_q(N))$ AND $\mathcal{O}(SL_q(N))$

In this section we recall notions and facts from the theory of corepresentations of Hopf algebras. All corepresentations are assumed to be finite dimensional. For a Hopf algebra $\mathcal{A}$ the following statements are equivalent [11, Theorem 11.3, p. 403]: (i) Every corepresentation of $\mathcal{A}$ is a direct sum of irreducible corepresentations, (ii) $\mathcal{A}$ is the linear span of all matrix elements of all irreducible corepresentations. In this case we call $\mathcal{A}$ *cosemisimple.* The *character* of a matrix corepresentation $v = (v^i_j)_{i,j=1,\dots,d}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is the element $\chi_v = \sum_{i=1}^d v^i_i$ in $\mathcal{A}$. In case of the zero corepresentation, $v = \chi_v = 0$. For $\mathcal{A}$ cosemisimple two finite dimensional corepresentations are equivalent if and only if their characters coincide, see [11, Corollary 11.18, p. 407].

Two idempotents p_1 and p_2 of an algebra A are called *equivalent* if there are elements a, $b\in A$ with $ab = p_1$ and $ba = p_2$. Obviously, two idempotents p_1 and p_2, $p_1\neq 0$ or $p_2\neq 0$, are *not* equivalent, if $p_1xp_2 = 0$ for all $x\in A$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a cosemisimple Hopf algebra and $v = (v^i_j)$ be a matrix corepresentation of $\mathcal{A}$ on the vector space V.*
(i) *Suppose $P\in\operatorname{Mor}(v)$ is idempotent. Then the restriction of v to the image of P defines a subcorepresentation $v(P)$ of v with character $\chi_{v(P)} = \sum_{i,j}P^i_j v^j_i$.*
(ii) *Let P and Q be idempotents in* $\operatorname{Mor}(v)$. *Then the corresponding subcorepresentations $v(P)$ and $v(Q)$ are equivalent if and only if P and Q are equivalent.*
(iii) *Let $P_i\in\operatorname{Mor}(v)$, $i = 0,\dots,m$, $P_0\neq 0$, be equivalent idempotents and let $P = \sum_i\alpha_iP_i$, $\alpha_i\in\mathbb{C}$, be idempotent. Then there exist non-negative integers r and s, $s\neq 0$, with $\sum_i\alpha_i = s^{-1}r$. Moreover, we have the following equivalence of subcorepresentations of v: $s\cdot v(P)\cong r\cdot v(P_0)$.*

Proof. Throughout the proof we sum over repeated indices. (i) We determine the matrix coefficients of $w = v(P)$. Let $\{e_i\}$ and $\{f_J\}$ be bases of V and $W = \operatorname{im}P$, resp. Define linear mappings $A\colon W\to V$, $Aw = w$, and $B\colon V\to W$, $Bv = Pv$. Obviously, $P = AB$ and $BA = \mathrm{id}_W$. The matrix elements of A and B corresponding to the chosen bases are determined by $f_J = \sum A^j_J e_j$ and $B(e_i) = \sum B^K_i f_K$, resp. Let φ denote the associated to v right comodule mapping , $\varphi(e_i) = \sum e_j\otimes v^j_i$. Since $A = PA$, $P\in\operatorname{Mor}(v)$, and $P = AB$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(f_N) &= \sum \varphi(A^j_N e_j) = \sum e_i \otimes v^i_j A^j_N = \sum e_i \otimes v^i_j P^j_k A^k_N \\ &= \sum e_i \otimes v^j_k P^i_j A^k_N = \sum A^i_M e_i \otimes B^M_j v^j_k A^k_N = \sum f_M \otimes (BvA)^M_N.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $W = \operatorname{im} P$ defines a subcorepresentation with matrix elements $w = BvA$. Therefore, $\chi_w = \sum B^M_j v^j_k A^k_M = \sum (AB)^k_j v^j_k = \sum P^k_j v^j_k$.

(ii) Suppose $P \sim Q$ are equivalent idempotents, i.e. $P = AB$ and $Q = BA$ for some A, $B \in \operatorname{Mor}(v)$. By (i) the characters of the subcorepresentations $v(P)$ and $v(Q)$ are $\chi_{v(P)} = \sum_{k,l} P^k_l v^l_k$ and $\chi_{v(Q)} = \sum Q^k_l v^l_k$, resp. Inserting $P = AB$, $Q = BA$, and using $B \in \operatorname{Mor}(v)$ gives $\chi_{v(P)} = \sum A^k_x B^x_l v^l_k = \sum A^k_x v^x_l B^l_k = \sum Q^l_x v^x_l = \chi_{v(Q)}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is cosemisimple, $v(P) \cong v(Q)$. Suppose now that $v(P) \cong v(Q)$ are equivalent corepresentations, i.e. there is a bijective map J, $J \in \operatorname{Mor}(v(P), v(Q))$. Let W_1 and W_2 denote the images of P and Q, resp. Moreover, let A_1, B_1 and A_2, B_2 be the corresponding mappings from the proof of (i) for P and Q, resp. Since $J \in \operatorname{Mor}(v(P), v(Q))$, $J(B_1 v A_1) = (B_2 v A_2) J$. Applying the counit ε and choosing $A = A_1 J^{-1} B_2$ and $B = A_2 J B_1$ one gets $AB = P$ and $BA = Q$. Hence, $P \sim Q$.

(iii) By (i) and (ii):

$$\chi_{v(P)} = \sum P^k_l v^l_k = \sum \alpha_i (P_i)^k_l v^l_k = \sum \alpha_i \chi_{v(P_i)} = (\textstyle\sum_i \alpha_i)\chi_{v(P_0)}. \tag{31}$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is cosemisimple there exist integers l and s_j, $s_j \geq 1$, $j = 1, \dots, l$, and irreducible corepresentations $\varphi_j \neq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, l$, such that $v(P_0) \cong \sum_j s_j \varphi_j$. Set $r_j = s_j \sum_i \alpha_i$, $j = 1, \dots, l$. From (31) it follows $\chi_{v(P)} = \sum_j r_j \chi_{\varphi_j}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is cosemisimple and since irreducible characters are linearly independent, r_j are non-negative integers and $v(P) \cong \sum_j r_j \varphi_j$. Hence $\sum_i \alpha_i = s_1^{-1} r_1$ is rational and $s_1 v(P) \cong r_1 v(P_0)$. □

Now let $\mathcal{A}$ be one of the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{O}(GL_q(N))$ or $\mathcal{O}(SL_q(N))$. Since q is transcendental, $\mathcal{A}$ is cosemisimple, see [11, Theorem 11.22, p. 410]. Throughout let φ and ψ denote the corepresentations $u^{\otimes k}$ and $(u^{\mathsf{c}})^{\otimes k}$, respectively. By (38) below it is obvious that $\varrho(H_k(q)) \subseteq \operatorname{Mor}(\varphi)$ and $\varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(H_k(q)) \subseteq \operatorname{Mor}(\psi)$. Let $p \in H_k(q)$ be idempotent, $P = \varrho(p)$, and $P^{\mathsf{c}} = \varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(p)$. Since, $P \in \operatorname{Mor}(\varphi)$ (resp. $P^{\mathsf{c}} \in \operatorname{Mor}(\psi)$) and by Lemma 5.1 (i), the restriction of the corepresentation φ (resp. of ψ) to the image of P (resp. of P^{c}) defines a subcorepresentation $\varphi(P)$ (resp. $\psi(P^{\mathsf{c}})$), perhaps 0. In particular, let p_λ and $\overline{p}_\lambda$ be minimal subidempotents of z_λ. Since $z_\lambda H_k(q)$ is a simple ideal, $p_\lambda \sim \overline{p}_\lambda$ are equivalent idempotents. Thus $\varrho(p_\lambda) \sim \varrho(\overline{p}_\lambda)$ (resp. $\varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(p_\lambda) \sim \varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(\overline{p}_\lambda)$) are equivalent idempotents too. Hence by Lemma 5.1 (ii), $\varphi(\varrho(p_\lambda)) \cong \varphi(\varrho(\overline{p}_\lambda))$ (resp. $\psi(\varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(p_\lambda)) \cong \psi(\varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(\overline{p}_\lambda))$) are equivalent corepresentations. Let φ_λ (resp. ψ_λ) denote this equivalence class. We determine the dimensions of φ_λ and ψ_λ. Let Tr_1 denote the evaluation of Tr at $x_1 = \dots = x_N = 1$, see (21). By Remark 3 and by $\sum_{c \models k} E_c = I$ we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}_1 = \operatorname{tr} \circ \varrho = \operatorname{tr} \circ \varrho_{\mathsf{c}}. \tag{32}$$

By (22), $\operatorname{rank}(\varrho(p^i_\lambda)) = \operatorname{rank}(\varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(p^i_\lambda)) = \operatorname{Tr}_1(p^i_\lambda) = s_\lambda(1, \dots, 1)$. The explicit value is

$$\delta_\lambda(N) := \dim \varphi_\lambda = \dim \psi_\lambda = s_\lambda(1, \dots, 1) = h(\lambda)^{-1} \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (N + j - i), \tag{33}$$

see [13, I.3 Example 4, p. 45].

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be one of the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{O}(GL_q(N))$ or $\mathcal{O}(SL_q(N))$. Assume $p \in H_k(q)$ be idempotent, $P^* = \varrho(p^*)$, and $P^{\mathsf{c}} = \varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(p)$. Then the following corepresentations are equivalent*

$$\varphi(P^*)^{\mathsf{c}} \cong \psi(P^{\mathsf{c}}). \tag{34}$$

Proof. We first prove

$$\varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(h)^{\overleftarrow{\imath}}_{\overleftarrow{\jmath}} = \varrho(T_{w_\circ} h^* T_{w_\circ}^{-1})^{\vec{\jmath}}_{\vec{\imath}}, \tag{35}$$

for $h \in H_k(q)$, $\vec{\imath} = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, $\vec{\jmath} = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$, $\overleftarrow{\imath} = (i_k, \dots, i_1)$, and $\overleftarrow{\jmath} = (j_k, \dots, j_1)$. First let $h = T_n$ be a single generator. By (5), $b_n b_{w_\circ} = b_{w_\circ} b_{k-n}$. Applying the representation $b_n \mapsto T_n$ to this equation and recalling $T_n^* = T_n$, we have

$$\varrho(T_{w_\circ} T_n^* T_{w_\circ}^{-1})^{\vec{\jmath}}_{\vec{\imath}} = \varrho(T_{k-n})^{\vec{\jmath}}_{\vec{\imath}} = \hat{R}^{j_{k-n}, j_{k-n+1}}_{i_{k-n}, i_{k-n+1}} = \check{R}^{i_{k-n+1}, i_{k-n}}_{j_{k-n+1}, j_{k-n}} = \varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(T_n)^{\overleftarrow{\imath}}_{\overleftarrow{\jmath}};$$

that proves (35) for $h = T_n$. Suppose now (35) is valid for $g, h \in H_k(q)$. By representation property of ϱ and ϱ_{c} and antimultiplicativity of *, we finally conclude

$$\varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(gh)^{\overleftarrow{\imath}}_{\overleftarrow{\jmath}} = \textstyle\sum_{\vec{x}} \varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(g)^{\overleftarrow{\imath}}_{\overleftarrow{x}} \varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(h)^{\overleftarrow{x}}_{\overleftarrow{\jmath}} = \sum_{\vec{x}} \varrho(T_{w_\circ} g^* T_{w_\circ}^{-1})^{\vec{x}}_{\vec{\imath}} \varrho(T_{w_\circ} h^* T_{w_\circ}^{-1})^{\vec{\jmath}}_{\vec{x}} = \varrho(T_{w_\circ} (gh)^* T_{w_\circ}^{-1})^{\vec{\jmath}}_{\vec{\imath}}.$$

Since $\{T_n\}$ generate $H_k(q)$, (35) is proved. We sum over repeated multi-indices. By Lemma 5.1 (i), (35), and $S(\chi_v) = \chi_{v^{\mathsf{c}}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\psi(P^{\mathsf{c}})} &= \textstyle\sum (P^{\mathsf{c}})^{\vec{\imath}}_{\vec{\jmath}} (u^{\mathsf{c}})^{\vec{\jmath}}_{\vec{\imath}} = \sum \varrho(T_{w_\circ} p^* T_{w_\circ}^{-1})^{\overleftarrow{\jmath}}_{\overleftarrow{\imath}} (u^{\mathsf{c}})^{\vec{\jmath}}_{\vec{\imath}} \\
&= \textstyle\sum \varrho(T_{w_\circ})^{\overleftarrow{\jmath}}_{\vec{x}} (P^*)^{\vec{x}}_{\vec{z}} \varrho(T_{w_\circ}^{-1})^{\vec{z}}_{\overleftarrow{\imath}} S(u^{\overleftarrow{\imath}}_{\overleftarrow{\jmath}}) = S\big(\sum \varrho(T_{w_\circ})^{\overleftarrow{\jmath}}_{\vec{x}} (P^*)^{\vec{x}}_{\vec{z}} \varrho(T_{w_\circ}^{-1})^{\overleftarrow{\imath}}_{\overleftarrow{\jmath}}\, u^{\vec{z}}_{\overleftarrow{\imath}}\big) \\
&= S(\textstyle\sum (P^*)^{\overleftarrow{\imath}}_{\vec{z}} u^{\vec{z}}_{\overleftarrow{\imath}}) = S(\chi_{\varphi(P^*)}) = \chi_{\varphi(P^*)^{\mathsf{c}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is cosemisimple $\varphi(P^*)^{\mathsf{c}}$ and $\psi(P^{\mathsf{c}})$ are equivalent corepresentations. □

Corollary 5.3. $\varphi_\lambda^{\mathsf{c}} \cong \psi_\lambda$.

Proof. Let p_λ be a minimal subidempotent of z_λ. Since $z_\lambda = z_\lambda^*$, $p_\lambda \sim p_\lambda^*$. Hence $\varphi_\lambda^{\mathsf{c}} = \varphi(\varrho(p_\lambda))^{\mathsf{c}} \cong \varphi(\varrho(p_\lambda^*))^{\mathsf{c}} \cong \psi(\varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(p_\lambda)) = \psi_\lambda$. □

We recall the Brauer-Schur-Weyl duality for quantum groups of type A, cf. [7] or [11, Theorem 8.38, Proposition 11.20, and Proposition 11.21].

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be one of the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{O}(GL_q(N))$ or $\mathcal{O}(SL_q(N))$. Let q be a transcendental complex number, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lambda \vdash k$.*
(i) *The representation $\varrho\colon H_k(q) \to \mathrm{Mor}(u^{\otimes k})$ is surjective. The subcorepresentation φ_λ of φ is irreducible.*
(ii) $\ker \varrho = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash k, \lambda_1' > N} z_\lambda H_k(q)$. *The subcorepresentation φ_λ is zero if and only if $\lambda_1' > N$.*

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and q be as above, and let $\lambda, \mu \vdash k$ be partitions of k. Then $\varphi_\lambda \cong \varphi_\mu$ if and only if $\lambda = \mu$ or both $\lambda_1' > N$ and $\mu_1' > N$.*

Proof. ← is trivial. →: Suppose $\lambda_1' \le N$ or $\mu_1' \le N$ and $\lambda \ne \mu$. Let p_λ and p_μ denote minimal idempotents corresponding to λ and μ, respectively, and $P_\lambda = \varrho(p_\lambda)$, $P_\mu = \varrho(p_\mu)$. Since $P_\lambda \ne 0$ or $P_\mu \ne 0$ by Proposition 5.4 (ii) and since $P_\lambda X P_\mu = 0$, $X \in \mathrm{Mor}(u^{\otimes k})$, P_λ and P_μ are inequivalent. By Lemma 5.1 (ii), φ_λ and φ_μ are inequivalent too. □

Corresponding to $\varGamma = \varGamma_{\tau,z}$ consider the representation ϱ_τ of $\mathcal{H}_k(q)$ on $V^{\otimes 2k}$, $\varrho_+ = \varrho_{\mathsf{c}}\otimes\varrho$ and $\varrho_- = (\varrho_{\mathsf{c}}\otimes\varrho)\alpha$, where $\alpha : \mathcal{H}_k(q) \to \mathcal{H}_k(q)$ denotes the automorphism $\alpha(h\otimes g) = g\otimes h$, $g, h \in H_k(q)$. The next Proposition settles the problem of determining the rank of the antisymmetrizer $a_k = \varrho_\tau(\boldsymbol{a}_k)$. The basic result is

Proposition 5.6. *The idempotent* $\varPi_\lambda^\tau = \varrho_\tau(\pi_\lambda)$ *defines a subcorepresentation* $\phi(\varPi_\lambda^\tau)$ *of* $\phi = (u^{\mathsf{c}})^{\otimes k}\otimes u^{\otimes k}$ *with*

$$\phi(\varPi_\lambda^+) \cong \psi_{\lambda'}\otimes\varphi_\lambda \quad \textit{and} \quad \phi(\varPi_\lambda^-) \cong \psi_\lambda\otimes\varphi_{\lambda'}. \tag{36}$$

Proof. (a) We first calculate the rank of $\varPi_\lambda^\tau$ in $V^{\otimes 2k}$, i. e. the dimension of the corepresentation $\phi(\varPi_\lambda^\tau)$. Let $\pi \in \mathcal{H}_k(q)$ be idempotent. By (32), $\operatorname{rank}\varrho_\tau(\pi) = (\operatorname{Tr}_1\otimes\operatorname{Tr}_1)\pi$. In particular for $\pi = \pi_\lambda$ by (29) and (33) we have

$$\operatorname{rank}\varPi_\lambda^\tau = \delta_{\lambda'}(N)\delta_\lambda(N). \tag{37}$$

(b) Let $\{p_\lambda^{ij} \colon i, j = 1, \dots, d_\lambda\}$ be a linear basis of $z_\lambda H_k(q)$ consisting of mutually equivalent idempotents. Then $\{(p_\lambda^{ij})' \colon i, j = 1, \dots, d_\lambda\}$ is a basis of $z_{\lambda'}H_k(q)$. By (28), π_λ is a subidempotent of $z_{\lambda'}\otimes z_\lambda$. Hence there are complex numbers α_{rsmn}^λ, $r, s, m, n = 1, \dots, d_\lambda$, such that $\pi_\lambda = \sum\alpha_{rsmn}^\lambda(p_\lambda^{rs})'\otimes p_\lambda^{mn}$. Moreover, the idempotents $(p_\lambda^{rs})'\otimes p_\lambda^{mn}$ are mutually equivalent in $\mathcal{H}_k(q)$. Applying ϱ_+ (resp. ϱ_-) gives $\varPi_\lambda^+ = \sum\alpha_{rsmn}^\lambda\varrho_{\mathsf{c}}((p_\lambda^{rs})')\otimes\varrho(p_\lambda^{mn})$ (resp. $\varPi_\lambda^- = \sum\alpha_{rsmn}^\lambda\varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(p_\lambda^{mn})\otimes\varrho((p_\lambda^{rs})')$). One verifies that $v = \phi$, $P = \varPi_\lambda^+$ (resp. $P = \varPi_\lambda^-$), and $P_i = \varrho_{\mathsf{c}}((p_\lambda^{rs})')\otimes\varrho(p_\lambda^{mn})$ (resp. $P_i = \varrho_{\mathsf{c}}(p_\lambda^{mn})\otimes\varrho((p_\lambda^{rs})')$) satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 5.1 (iii). Hence, $\phi(\varPi_\lambda^+) \cong \alpha^\lambda\,\psi_{\lambda'}\otimes\varphi_\lambda$, (resp. $\phi(\varPi_\lambda^-) \cong \alpha^\lambda\,\psi_\lambda\otimes\varphi_{\lambda'}$), where $\alpha^\lambda = \sum\alpha_{rsmn}^\lambda$ is rational. In particular, by (33), $\dim\phi(\varPi_\lambda^\tau) = \alpha^\lambda\,\delta_{\lambda'}(N)\delta_\lambda(N)$. Comparing this with (37) gives $\alpha^\lambda = 1$; the proof is complete. □

The main step to simplify the study of the algebras ${}_W\varGamma_\ell^\wedge$ and ${}_W\varGamma_{\mathrm{i}}^\wedge$ is the reduction of the antisymmetrizer A_k of $\varGamma_\ell^{\otimes k}$ to the antisymmetrizer a_k of $\varrho_\tau(\mathcal{H}_k(q))$. We provide an isomorphism of the right $\mathcal{A}$-comodules $(u^{\mathsf{c}})^{\otimes k}\otimes u^{\otimes k}$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}\restriction\varGamma_\ell^{\otimes k}$ that maps a_k into A_k. Here and in the remainder of the article V always denotes the N-dimensional complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^N$ with canonical basis $e_1, \dots, e_N$.

Set $\mathfrak{s}_+ = 1 + \mathfrak{s} + q^{-2N-2}$, $\mathfrak{s}_- = \mathfrak{s} - q^{-2} - q^{-2N}$, and define the $N^2\times N^2$-matrices $(\grave{R}^\pm)_{rs}^{ab} = q^{2s-2a}(\hat{R}^{\pm 1})_{ar}^{bs}$. We recall some well-known properties of the matrices $\acute{R}$ and $\grave{R}^\pm$, see [22, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4]:

$$\operatorname{Mor}(u\otimes u) = \langle\hat{R}, \hat{R}^{-1}\rangle, \qquad \operatorname{Mor}(u^{\mathsf{c}}\otimes u^{\mathsf{c}}) = \langle\check{R}^+, \check{R}^-\rangle, \tag{38}$$

$$\operatorname{Mor}(u^{\mathsf{c}}\otimes u, u\otimes u^{\mathsf{c}}) = \langle\acute{R}^+, \acute{R}^-\rangle, \qquad \operatorname{Mor}(u\otimes u^{\mathsf{c}}, u^{\mathsf{c}}\otimes u) = \langle\grave{R}^+, \grave{R}^-\rangle,$$

$$\acute{R}^\pm\grave{R}^\mp = \grave{R}^\mp\acute{R}^\pm = I, \sum_{i,j} q^{2s-2j}(P_\pm)_{jm}^{in}(P_\pm)_{ir}^{js} = \check{q}^{-2}(\delta_{nr}\delta_{ms} + \mathfrak{s}_\pm q^{2s}\delta_{nm}\delta_{rs}), \tag{39}$$

$$\acute{R}_{23}^\pm\acute{R}_{12}^\pm\hat{R}_{23} = \hat{R}_{12}\acute{R}_{23}^\pm\acute{R}_{12}^\pm, \qquad \acute{R}_{12}^\pm\acute{R}_{23}^\pm\check{R}_{12} = \check{R}_{23}\acute{R}_{12}^\pm\acute{R}_{23}^\pm. \tag{40}$$

Proposition 5.7. *Let* $\mathcal{A}$ *denote one of the Hopf algebras* $\mathcal{O}(GL_q(N))$ *or* $\mathcal{O}(SL_q(N))$, $\varGamma = \varGamma_{\tau,z}$, $\phi = (u^{\mathsf{c}})^{\otimes k}\otimes u^{\otimes k}$, *and* $k \geq 1$.

(i) *There exists an isomorphisms* $I^{(k)}$ *of right comodules* ϕ *and* $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}\restriction\varGamma_\ell^{\otimes k}$ *such that*

$$I^{(k)}a_k = A_kI^{(k)}. \tag{41}$$

(ii) *There exists an isomorphism* $I^{\wedge k}$ *of right comodules* $\phi{\restriction}\operatorname{im} a_k$ *and* $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}{\restriction}_W\Gamma_\ell^{\wedge k}$.
(iii) *There exists an isomorphism of* ${}_W\Gamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge k}$ *and the vector space* $(\operatorname{im} a_k)^\phi$ *of* ϕ*-invariant elements of* $\operatorname{im} a_k$.

Proof. To simplify notations, throughout the proof we shall write C_n instead of $C_{n,n+1}$ for $C \in \operatorname{End}(V \otimes V)$ acting in position n and $n+1$ of the tensor product $V^{\otimes k}$, $n \leq k-1$.
(i) Let $\{e_{\vec{\imath}} \colon \vec{\imath} = (i_1, \dots, i_{2k})\}$ and $\{\theta_{i_1 i_2} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \theta_{i_{2k-1} i_{2k}}\}$ be the canonical bases of $V^{\otimes 2k}$ and $\Gamma_\ell^{\otimes k}$, resp. With respect to these bases define the matrix $I^{(k)} = I_\tau^{(k)}$ as follows. Set $I_\tau^{(1)} = I$ and for $k \geq 2$ let

$$I^{(k)}_{\tau\, 2 \cdots 2k-1} = \acute{R}^\tau_{2k-2}(\acute{R}^\tau_{2k-4}\acute{R}^\tau_{2k-3}) \cdots (\acute{R}^\tau_{2i} \cdots \acute{R}^\tau_{k+i-1}) \cdots (\acute{R}^\tau_2 \cdots \acute{R}^\tau_k). \tag{42}$$

The index $(2, \dots, 2k-1)$ indicates that $I_\tau^{(k)}$ effectively acts at positions $2, \dots, 2k-1$ and leaves the first and last coordinates unchanged. Since $\acute{R}^\tau$ is invertible by (39), $I_\tau^{(k)}$ is invertible. The following two recursion formulas are easily checked

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\tau^{(k)} &= I^{(k-1)}_{\tau\, 4 \cdots 2k-1}\acute{R}^\tau_2 \cdots \acute{R}^\tau_k, \\
I_\tau^{(k)} &= I^{(k-1)}_{\tau\, 2 \cdots 2k-3}\acute{R}^\tau_{2k-2} \cdots \acute{R}^\tau_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (38) and one of the above recursion formulas one shows by induction on k that the matrix $I_\tau^{(k)}$ defines an isomorphism of corepresentations $(u^{\mathrm{c}})^{\otimes k} \otimes u^{\otimes k}$ and $(u^{\mathrm{c}} \otimes u)^{\otimes k}$. Since $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}{\restriction}\Gamma_\ell^{\otimes k} \cong (u^{\mathrm{c}} \otimes u)^{\otimes k}$ by definition of Δ_{r} and (12), the first part of (i) is proved. Next we shall show equation (41). Since both antisymmetrizer a_k and A_k are homomorphic images of the braid group antisymmetrizer $\boldsymbol{A}_k$ (under $\varrho_\tau \circ \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and γ, resp.) it suffices to prove $I_\tau^{(k)}\varrho_\tau(T_n^{-1} \otimes T_n) = (\sigma_\tau)_n I_\tau^{(k)}$ for $n = 1, \dots, k-1$. By (14) the equivalent matrix notation of this identity is

$$I_\tau^{(k)}\check{R}_n^{-\tau}\hat{R}^\tau_{k+n} = \acute{R}^\tau_{2n}\check{R}^{-\tau}_{2n-1}\hat{R}^\tau_{2n+1}(\acute{R}^\tau_{2n})^{-1}I_\tau^{(k)}. \tag{43}$$

We will prove (43) for $I_+^{(k)}$ by induction on k. The proof for $I_-^{(k)}$ is analogous. Since $I_+^{(2)} = \acute{R}_2$, (43) is obvious for $k = 2$ and $n = 1$.
Case 1. $n = 1$. By the second recursion equation, (40), $k \geq 3$, and induction assumption we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_+^{(k)}\check{R}_1^{-1}\hat{R}_{k+1} &= I^{(k-1)}_{+\, 2 \cdots 2k-3}\acute{R}_{2k-2} \cdots \acute{R}_{k+2}\acute{R}_{k+1}\acute{R}_k\check{R}_1^{-1}\hat{R}_{k+1} \\
&= I^{(k-1)}_{+\, 2 \cdots 2k-3}\check{R}_1^{-1}\acute{R}_{2k-2} \cdots \acute{R}_{k+2}\hat{R}_k\acute{R}_{k+1}\acute{R}_k \\
&= I^{(k-1)}_{+\, 2 \cdots 2k-3}\check{R}_1^{-1}\hat{R}_k\acute{R}_{2k-2} \cdots \acute{R}_k \\
&= \acute{R}_2\check{R}_1^{-1}\hat{R}_3\acute{R}_2^{-1}I^{(k-1)}_{+\, 2 \cdots 2k-3}\acute{R}_{2k-2} \cdots \acute{R}_k \\
&= \acute{R}_2\check{R}_1^{-1}\hat{R}_3\acute{R}_2^{-1}I_+^{(k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $2 \le n \le k-1$. By the first recursion equation, (40), $k \ge 3$, and induction assumption we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_+^{(k)} \check{R}_n^{-1} \hat{R}_{k+n} &= I^{(k-1)}_{+4\cdots 2k-1} \acute{R}_2 \cdots \acute{R}_k \check{R}_n^{-1} \hat{R}_{k+n} \\ &= I^{(k-1)}_{+4\cdots 2k-1} \hat{R}_{k+n} \acute{R}_n \cdots \acute{R}_n \acute{R}_{n+1} \check{R}_n^{-1} \acute{R}_{n+2} \cdots \acute{R}_k \\ &= I^{(k-1)}_{+4\cdots 2k-1} \check{R}_{n+1}^{-1} \hat{R}_{k-1+n+1} \acute{R}_2 \cdots \acute{R}_k \\ &= \acute{R}_{2n} \check{R}_{2n-1}^{-1} \hat{R}_{2n+1} \acute{R}_{2n} I^{(k-1)}_{+4\cdots 2k-1} \acute{R}_2 \cdots \acute{R}_k \\ &= \acute{R}_{2n} \check{R}_{2n-1}^{-1} \hat{R}_{2n+1} \acute{R}_{2n} I_+^{(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (i) is complete.
(ii) By (i), $I^{(k)} \colon V^{\otimes 2k} \to \mathit{\Gamma}_\ell^{\otimes k}$ is a linear isomorphism. Moreover, the kernels of a_k and A_k are bijectively mapped into each other. Consequently, $I^{(k)}$ can be factorised to an isomorphism $V^{\otimes 2k}/\ker a_k \to \mathit{\Gamma}_\ell^{\otimes k}/\ker A_k$. Since $\operatorname{im} a_k$ is also ϕ-covariant and since $\operatorname{im} a_k \cong V^{\otimes 2k}/\ker a_k$, $I^{\wedge k} \colon \operatorname{im} a_k \to {}_W\mathit{\Gamma}_\ell^{\wedge k}$ is again an isomorphism of right comodules.
(iii) Since $\mathcal{A}$ is cosemisimple and $I^{\wedge k}$ is an isomorphism of right comodules, $I^{\wedge k}$ is the direct sum of isomorphisms of the isotypical components. In particular, the trivial components (corresponding to the trivial corepresentation 1) are bijectively mapped into each other. Hence, the restriction of $I^{\wedge k}$ to the space of invariant elements of $\operatorname{im} a_k$ is an isomorphism to ${}_W\mathit{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge k}$. □

Proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 (i). We first show that the following combinatorial formula holds:

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash k} \delta_{\lambda'}(N) \delta_\lambda(N) = \binom{N^2}{k}, \tag{44}$$

where N and k are non-negative integers. Use formula [13, (4.3′), I. 4, p. 65]: $\prod(1 + x_i y_j) = \sum_\lambda s_\lambda(x) s_{\lambda'}(y)$. We restrict it to the finite set of variables $x_1, \dots, x_N$, $y_1, \dots, y_N$ and consider the natural bi-grading of polynomials in these variables. Comparing the homogeneous components of degree (k, k), inserting $x_1 = \cdots = y_N = 1$, and using (33) gives (44). By (37) we finally obtain

$$\dim {}_W\mathit{\Gamma}_\ell^{\wedge k} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash k} \operatorname{rank} \mathit{\Pi}_\lambda^\tau = \sum_{\lambda \vdash k} \delta_{\lambda'}(N) \delta_\lambda(N) = \binom{N^2}{k}.$$

In particular, the only partition λ of N^2 with $\operatorname{rank} \mathit{\Pi}_\lambda^\tau \neq 0$ is $\lambda = (N^N)$. In this case $\lambda = \lambda'$ is symmetric. By the above formula, $\dim {}_W\mathit{\Gamma}_\ell^{\wedge N^2} = 1$. Hence, there exists a unique up to scalars form of maximal degree N^2; the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.
To prove Theorem 3.2 (i) consider the formal power series $p(t) = (1+t)(1+t^3)(1+t^5) \cdots = 1 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \cdots$. Then $c_k = \operatorname{Card}\{\lambda : \lambda \vdash k, \lambda = \lambda'\}$. In fact, let $\lambda \vdash k$, $\lambda = \lambda'$, be a symmetric Young diagram. Denote the hook consisting of the first row and first column of λ by $\lambda^{(1)}$ and the remaining part of λ by $\lambda_{(1)}$. Obviously, $\lambda_{(1)}$ is again a symmetric diagram. Repeating the above procedure we step by step get a sequence of symmetric hooks $\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(r)}$ with $k = \sum_i n_i$, $n_i = |\lambda^{(i)}|$, $n_1 > \cdots > n_r$, and n_i is odd for each i. Now we associate to λ the expression $t^{n_1} \cdots t^{n_r}$ appearing in $p(t)$. Conversely, to each summand $t^{n_1} \cdots t^{n_r}$ in $p(t)$ we associate a symmetric Young diagram by putting together symmetric hooks of weights n_i. Similarly, the polynomial $s(t) = (1+t)(1+t^3) \cdots (1+t^{2N-1}) = 1 + c_1 t + \cdots + c_{N^2} t^{N^2}$ has

coefficients $c_k = \mathrm{Card}\{\lambda : \lambda \vdash k, \lambda = \lambda', \lambda'_1 \leq N\}$.
Since $\mathrm{im}\, \mathit{\Pi}^{\tau}_{\lambda} = 0$ for $\lambda'_1 > N$ by (37) and (33), it suffices to consider the elements of $\mathcal{P}_k := \{\lambda\colon \lambda \vdash k,\ \lambda'_1 \leq N\}$. Then φ_λ is nonzero by Proposition 5.4 (ii). We collect three simple facts from the theory of corepresentations: Let W be the vector space where the corepresentation w acts. Then the vector space W^w of under w invariant elements is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Mor}(1, w)$. Further, $\mathrm{Mor}(1, v \otimes w) \cong \mathrm{Mor}(v^{\mathsf{c}}, w)$, and $v \cong v^{\mathsf{cc}}$ (since $\mathcal{A}$ is cosemisimple).
By Proposition 5.7 (iii), (36), and Corollary 5.3 we have for $\mathit{\Gamma} = \mathit{\Gamma}_{+,z}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim({}_W\mathit{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge k}) &= \sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}_k} \dim(\mathrm{im}\, \mathit{\Pi}^{+}_{\lambda})^{\phi} = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}_k} \dim \mathrm{Mor}(1, \phi(\mathit{\Pi}^{+}_{\lambda}))\\
&= \sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}_k} \dim \mathrm{Mor}(1, \psi_{\lambda'} \otimes \varphi_\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}_k} \dim \mathrm{Mor}(\psi^{\mathsf{c}}_{\lambda'}, \varphi_\lambda)\\
&= \sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}_k} \dim \mathrm{Mor}(\varphi^{\mathsf{cc}}_{\lambda'}, \varphi_\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}_k} \dim \mathrm{Mor}(\varphi_{\lambda'}, \varphi_\lambda)\\
&= \mathrm{Card}\{\lambda : \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_k, \varphi_\lambda \cong \varphi_{\lambda'}\} = \mathrm{Card}\{\lambda : \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_k, \lambda = \lambda'\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last two steps are by Schur's Lemma and by Corollary 5.5. This is exactly the coefficient c_k of the polynomial $s(t)$. The proof for the calculi $\mathit{\Gamma} = \mathit{\Gamma}_{-,z}$ is analogous; this finishes the proof of Theorem 3.2 (i).

Proofs of Theorem 3.2 (ii)–(iv). We begin with a rather general relation between the antipode of $(\mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes})_{\mathrm{i}}$ and the action of the longest word $\sigma_{w_\circ}$ on bi-invariant elements. Then we determine this action for $\mathit{\Gamma} = \mathit{\Gamma}_{\tau,z}$. Let $\{\theta_i\}$ and $\{\eta_i\}$ be bases of the linear spaces $\mathit{\Gamma}_\ell$ and $\mathit{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{r}}$, respectively, which are related via $\theta_i = \sum_j \eta_j v^j_i$ and $\eta_i = \sum_j \theta_j S(v^j_i)$, see [26, (2.38)]

Proposition 5.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Hopf algebra and let $\mathit{\Gamma}$ be a bicovariant bimodule over $\mathcal{A}$ with braiding σ. Let S be the antipode of the N_0-graded super Hopf algebra $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\otimes}$ and let $\rho \in \mathit{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\otimes k}$ be bi-invariant. Then*

$$S(\rho) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)} \sigma_{w_\circ}(\rho). \tag{45}$$

This equation is also valid for $\rho \in {}_W\mathit{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge k}$.

Proof. Throughout the proof we sum over repeated indices. (a) Since $S(\theta) = -\sum_{(\theta)} S(\theta_{(-1)})\theta_{(0)}S(\theta_{(1)})$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}(\theta_i) = \theta_j \otimes v^j_i$, $S(\theta_i) = -\eta_i$. Using (7) we get $S(\theta_{\vec{\imath}}) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)} \eta_{\overleftarrow{\imath}}$. Here $\overleftarrow{\imath} = (i_k, \dots, i_1)$ denotes the reversed index sequence of $\vec{\imath} = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, $\theta_{\vec{\imath}} = \theta_{i_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \theta_{i_k}$, and $\eta_{\overleftarrow{\jmath}} = \eta_{j_k} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \eta_{j_1}$.
(b) We use induction over k, $k \geq 2$, to show

$$\eta_{\overleftarrow{\jmath}}\, v^{\vec{\jmath}}_{\vec{\imath}} = \sigma_{w_\circ}(\theta_{\vec{\imath}}). \tag{46}$$

By $\eta_j v^j_i = \theta_i$, $\theta_i \triangleleft a = f^i_n(a)\theta_n$, and (6), we have $\eta_{j_2} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \eta_{j_1} v^{j_1}_{i_1} v^{j_2}_{i_2} = \eta_{j_2} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \theta_{i_1} v^{j_2}_{i_2} = \eta_{j_2} v^{j_2}_x f^{i_1}_y (v^x_{i_2}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \theta_y = \sigma^{xy}_{i_1 i_2} \theta_x \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \theta_y = \sigma(\theta_{i_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \theta_{i_2})$; this proves (46) in case $k = 2$. Suppose now (46) holds for k. We prove it for $k+1$. Let $w'_\circ$ be the longest word in $\mathcal{S}_{k+1}$, $w'_\circ = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k w_\circ$. Since $\ell(w'_\circ) = k + \ell(w_\circ)$ we can lift this equation to braids; afterwards we can apply γ. Hence, $\sigma_{w'_\circ} = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k \sigma_{w_\circ}$. Making repeatedly use of $\theta_i v^n_j = \sigma^{xy}_{ij} v^n_x \theta_y$ gives

$$\theta_{\vec{\jmath}}\, v^j_i = (\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k)^{n \vec{n}}_{\vec{\jmath} i}\, v^j_n \theta_{\vec{n}}. \tag{47}$$

Using induction assumption, (47), and the above formula for $\sigma_{w_\circ'}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_j\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\eta_{\overleftarrow{\jmath}}v^{\vec{\jmath}}_{\vec{\imath}}v^j_i &= \eta_j(\sigma_{w_\circ})^{\vec{\jmath}}_{\vec{\imath}}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\theta_{\vec{\jmath}}v^j_i \quad = \eta_j(\sigma_{w_\circ})^{\vec{\jmath}}_{\vec{\imath}}(\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_k)^{n\vec{n}}_{\vec{\jmath}i}v^j_n\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\theta_{\vec{n}}\\ &= \eta_j v^j_n(\sigma_{w_\circ'})^{n\vec{n}}_{\vec{\imath}i}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\theta_{\vec{n}} = (\sigma_{w_\circ'})^{n\,\vec{n}}_{\vec{\imath}i}\theta_n\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\theta_{\vec{n}} = \sigma_{w_\circ'}(\theta_{\vec{\imath}}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\theta_i)\end{aligned}$$

and the proof of (46) is complete.

(c) Let $\rho = \alpha_{\vec{\imath}}\theta_{\vec{\imath}}\in \Gamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\otimes k}$, $\alpha_{\vec{\imath}} = (\alpha_{i_1},\dots,\alpha_{i_k})\in\mathbb{C}^k$. Since $\rho\otimes 1 = \sum\rho_{(0)}\otimes\rho_{(1)}$ by (a) and (b) we have

$$\begin{aligned}S(\rho) &= \sum S(\rho_{(0)})\rho_{(1)} = S(\alpha_{\vec{\imath}}\theta_{\vec{\jmath}})\,v^{\vec{\jmath}}_{\vec{\imath}} = \alpha_{\vec{\imath}}S(\theta_{\vec{\jmath}})v^{\vec{\jmath}}_{\vec{\imath}}\\ &= (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)}\alpha_{\vec{\imath}}\eta_{\overleftarrow{\jmath}}\,v^{\vec{\jmath}}_{\vec{\imath}} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)}\alpha_{\vec{\imath}}\sigma_{w_\circ}(\theta_{\vec{\imath}}) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)}\sigma_{w_\circ}(\rho).\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

(d) Since $S(\ker A_k)\subseteq\ker A_k$ by [11, Prop. 13.10, p. 489] and $\sigma_{w_\circ}(\ker A_k)\subseteq\ker A_k$ by (5), the antipode S and $\sigma_{w_\circ}$ are well-defined on the quotient ${}_W\Gamma^{\wedge k}$. □

Lemma 5.9. *Let $\lambda\vdash k$, $\lambda=\lambda'$, be a symmetric partition of k and let $\pi\in\mathcal{H}_k(q)$ be a subidempotent of π_λ. Then*

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{w_\circ}\pi = (-1)^{\ell(w_\circ)}\pi. \tag{49}$$

Proof. (a) We use the Iwahori-Hecke algebra H_k over $\mathbb{C}(q)$. First we show that $T^2_{w_\circ}z_\lambda = z_\lambda$, $\lambda\vdash k$, $\lambda=\lambda'$. By (5), $T^2_{w_\circ}$ is central. Hence there exist coefficients $\alpha_\lambda\in\mathbb{C}(q)$ with $T^2_{w_\circ} = \sum_\lambda\alpha_\lambda z_\lambda$. Since $T_{w_\circ}$ is invertible, $T^{-2}_{w_\circ} = \sum_\lambda\alpha_\lambda^{-1}z_\lambda$. By Lemma 4.1, and $\lambda=\lambda'$ we obtain, $\alpha_\lambda z_\lambda = (\alpha_\lambda z_\lambda)' = (T^2_{w_\circ}z_\lambda)' = z_\lambda T^{-2}_{w_\circ} = \alpha_\lambda^{-1}z_\lambda$. Hence, $\alpha_\lambda^2=1$. Let $\alpha_\lambda(q) = h(q)^{-1}g(q)$ with polynomials g and h. Since $\alpha_\lambda(q)$ takes only two values 1 and -1, at least one of them, say c, appears infinitely often: $\alpha_\lambda(q_i)=c$, $i=1,2,\dots$. Then the polynomial $f(q) = g(q)-c\cdot h(q)$, has infinitely many zeros. Hence $f\equiv 0$ and $\alpha_\lambda(q)\equiv c$ is constant. Specialization at $q=1$ yields $T^2_{w_\circ}|_{q=1}=1$. Hence, $\alpha_\lambda=1$.

(b) By definition of π_λ, (5), $w_\circ=w_\circ^{-1}$, (30), and (a):

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{w_\circ}\pi &= \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{w_\circ}\pi_\lambda\pi = t_\lambda^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{w_\circ}\boldsymbol{a}_k(1\otimes z_\lambda)\pi = t_\lambda^{-1}\boldsymbol{a}_k((-1)^{\ell(w_\circ)}T^{*\prime}_{w_\circ}\otimes T_{w_\circ})(1\otimes z_\lambda)\pi\\ &= t_\lambda^{-1}(-1)^{\ell(w_\circ)}\boldsymbol{a}_k(1\otimes T^2_{w_\circ}z_\lambda)\pi \quad = t_\lambda^{-1}(-1)^{\ell(w_\circ)}\boldsymbol{a}_k(1\otimes z_\lambda)\pi\\ &= (-1)^{\ell(w_\circ)}\pi_\lambda\pi = (-1)^{\ell(w_\circ)}\pi.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 5.10. *For $\rho\in{}_W\Gamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge k}$,*

$$\sigma_{w_\circ}(\rho) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)}\rho \quad\textit{and}\quad S(\rho) = (-1)^k\rho. \tag{50}$$

Proof. Since ${}_W\Gamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge k}$ and $\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}_k}\mathrm{Mor}(1,\phi(\Pi^\tau_\lambda))$ are isomorphic linear spaces (by the proof of Theorem 3.2 (i)), bi-invariant k-forms ρ are in one-to-one correspondence with rank 1 subidempotents π of $\sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}_k}\Pi^\tau_\lambda$. Since $\ell(w_\circ) = \frac{1}{2}k(k-1)$ the first part follows from Lemma 5.9. Combining this with Proposition 5.8 gives $S(\rho) = (-1)^k\rho$. □

Now we are ready to complete the proof of Theorem 3.2 (ii), (iii), and (iv). Using (50) and (7) we obtain for $\rho_1\in{}_W\Gamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge k}$ and $\rho_2\in{}_W\Gamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge n}$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1\wedge\rho_2 &= (-1)^{k+n}S(\rho_1\wedge\rho_2) \qquad = (-1)^{k+n+kn}S(\rho_2)\wedge S(\rho_1)\\ &= (-1)^{k+n+kn+k+n}\rho_2\wedge\rho_1 = (-1)^{kn}\rho_2\wedge\rho_1.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\rho \in {}_W\varGamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge k}$. Since the differential d commutes with the antipode, increases the degree of a form by 1, and maps bi-invariant forms into bi-invariant forms, again by (50) we have

$$\mathrm{d}\rho = \mathrm{d}\big((-1)^k S(\rho)\big) = (-1)^k S(\mathrm{d}\rho) = (-1)^k (-1)^{k+1} \mathrm{d}\rho = -\mathrm{d}\rho.$$

Hence, $\mathrm{d}\rho = 0$. Since d is linear, each bi-invariant form is closed.
Suppose $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in {}_W\varGamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge k}$ represent the same de Rham cohomology class. Then there exists $\rho \in {}_W\varGamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge k-1}$ with $\rho_1 - \rho_2 = \mathrm{d}\rho$. Since d intertwines the right coaction Δ_{r} on $k-1$ and k forms, the pre-image $W = \mathrm{d}^{-1}(\mathrm{d}\rho)$ is a Δ_{r} invariant subspace of ${}_W\varGamma_{\ell}^{\wedge k-1}$. Since $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}\upharpoonright\langle \mathrm{d}\rho\rangle$ is the trivial comodule, by Schur's Lemma, $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}\upharpoonright W$ is a multiple of the trivial comodule. Consequently, $W \subseteq {}_W\varGamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\wedge k-1}$ and $\mathrm{d}\rho = 0$. Hence, $\rho_1 = \rho_2$. The proof of Theorem 3.2 is complete.

6. Proof of Theorem 3.3

Recall that a *quadratic algebra*, see [16, Sect. 3], is an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded algebra $B = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} B_i$ with $B_0 = \mathbb{C}$, B_1 generates B, and the ideal of relations among the elements of B_1 is generated by a subspace $\mathrm{Rel}(B) \subseteq B_1 \otimes B_1$. It is convenient to write $B = \{B_1, \mathrm{Rel}(B)\}$. The *dual* quadratic algebra to $B = \{B_1, \mathrm{Rel}(B)\}$ is $B^! = \{B_1^*, \mathrm{Rel}(B)^\perp\}$. Here B_1^* denotes the dual vector space to B_1 and $\mathrm{Rel}(B)^\perp = \{t \in B_1^* \otimes B_1^* \colon t(r) = 0, r \in \mathrm{Rel}(B)\}$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be one of the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{O}(GL_q(N))$ or $\mathcal{O}(SL_q(N))$ and let $\varGamma = \varGamma_{-\tau,z}$. Then ${}_s\varGamma_\ell^\wedge$ is a quadratic algebra. It is dual to the algebra*

$$B(R^\tau) := \mathbb{C}\langle L^i_j \colon i,j = 1,\dots,N\rangle / (L_2 \hat{R}^\tau L_2 \hat{R}^\tau - \hat{R}^\tau L_2 \hat{R}^\tau L_2).$$

Remark 5. The Proposition is also valid for bicovariant FODC on quantum groups of types B, C, and D, when the corresponding $\hat{R}$-matrices are used. The algebra $B(R)$ is called *reflection equation algebra* or *algebra of braided matrices.*

Proof. We carry out the proof for $\varGamma = \varGamma_{+,z}$. The proof for $\varGamma_{-,z}$ is analogous. Throughout the proof we sum over repeated indices. By definition, ${}_s\varGamma_\ell^\wedge = \{\varGamma_\ell, \ker(I - \sigma_+)\}$ is a quadratic algebra. Using a simple argument from linear algebra, $({}_s\varGamma_\ell^\wedge)^! = \{\varGamma_\ell^*, \mathrm{im}(I - \sigma_+)^{\mathtt{t}}\}$. Let $\{Y_{ij} : i,j = 1,\dots,N\}$ be a basis of $\varGamma_\ell^*$ dual to the basis $\{\theta^i_j : i,j = 1,\dots,N\}$ of $\varGamma_\ell$. We identify the tensor algebra over $\varGamma_\ell$ and $\varGamma_\ell^*$ with the free associative unital complex algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle\theta^i_j\rangle$ and $\mathbb{C}\langle Y_{ij}\rangle$, respectively. Now we compute the relation subspace $\mathrm{im}(I - \sigma_+^{\mathtt{t}})$ of $({}_s\varGamma_\ell^\wedge)^!$. By (14), (39) and $\hat{R}^{ab}_{rs} = \hat{R}^{rs}_{ab}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{ijkl} &:= (I - \sigma_+^{\mathtt{t}})^{prce}_{ijkl} Y_{pr} Y_{ce}\\
&= Y_{ij}Y_{kl} - \acute{R}^{jk}_{xy} (\check{R}^{-1})^{ix}_{pv} \hat{R}^{yl}_{we} (\grave{R}^{-})^{vw}_{rc} Y_{pr} Y_{ce}\\
&= Y_{ij}Y_{kl} - \hat{R}^{xj}_{yk} (\hat{R}^{-1})^{vp}_{xi} \hat{R}^{yl}_{we} (\grave{R}^{-})^{vw}_{rc} Y_{pr} Y_{ce}\\
&= Y_{ij}Y_{kl} - \hat{R}^{yk}_{xj} (\hat{R}^{-1})^{xi}_{vp} Y_{pr} Y_{ce} \hat{R}^{we}_{yl} q^{-2w+2r} (\hat{R}^{-1})^{vr}_{wc}.
\end{aligned}$$

We choose new variables $L^a_b = q^{2b} Y_{ab}$, multiply the above equation by $q^{2l+2k}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{aj}_{bk}$, and sum over k and j (no summation over a, b, i, l):

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{ijkl} q^{2l+2k}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{aj}_{bk} =& q^{-2j+2k}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{aj}_{bk} L^i_j L^k_l - q^{2l}\hat{R}^{yk}_{xj}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{aj}_{bk} q^{2k-2x} q^{2x}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{xi}_{vp} \\ & \times L^p_z L^c_e q^{-2e}\hat{R}^{we}_{yl} q^{-2w+2r}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{vr}_{wc}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta_{ax}\delta_{by} = \hat{R}^{yk}_{xj}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{aj}_{bk} q^{2k-2x}$ (no summation over x) by (39) we obtain in matrix notation $L_2 = I \otimes L$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{ijkl} q^{2l+2k}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{aj}_{bk} =& q^{-2b+2a} L^i_j (\hat{R}^{-1})^{aj}_{bk} L^k_l - q^{2l+2a-2e-2w}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{ai}_{vp} L^p_r L^c_e \hat{R}^{we}_{bl}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{vr}_{wc} \\ =& q^{-2b+2a}(L_2\hat{R}^{-1}L_2)^{ai}_{bl} - q^{-2b+2a}(\hat{R}^{-1}L_2)^{ai}_{vr}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{vr}_{wc}(L_2\hat{R})^{wc}_{bl}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the above equation by $q^{-2a+2b}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{bl}_{mn}$ and summing over b, l (no summation over a, i, m, n) gives

$$L_{aimn} := Y_{ijkl} q^{2l+2k+2b-2a}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{aj}_{bk}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{bl}_{mn} = (L_2\hat{R}^{-1}L_2\hat{R}^{-1} - \hat{R}^{-1}L_2\hat{R}^{-1}L_2)^{ai}_{mn}.$$

Since $Y_{ijkl} = L_{xiyz} q^{-2l-2v}\hat{R}^{yz}_{vl}\hat{R}^{vk}_{xj}$ (no summation over l), $\langle L_{ijkl} : i, j, k, l = 1, \ldots, N\rangle = \langle Y_{ijkl} : i, j, k, l = 1, \ldots, N\rangle$; the proof is complete. □

It was shown by Majid [14, Theorem 7.4.1 and Theorem 10.3.1] that the vector space $\mathcal{A}(R)$ admits *another* product such that $\mathcal{A}(R)$ becomes isomorphic to the associative unital algebra $B(R^+)$. Note that changing the role of $\hat{R}$ and $\hat{R}^{-1}$ does not effect the definition of $\mathcal{A}(R)$. Hence $\mathcal{A}(R)$, $B(R^+)$, and $B(R^-)$ are isomorphic as graded linear spaces. It is well known that $\mathcal{A}(R)$ obeys a linear basis $\{(u^1_1)^{k_{11}}(u^1_2)^{k_{12}}\cdots(u^N_N)^{k_{NN}} : k_{11}, k_{12}, \ldots, k_{NN} \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, see [17, Theorem 3.5.1]. Hence $B(R^\tau)$ has a Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt basis too. By [18, Theorem 5.3], $B(R^\tau)$ is Koszul. By [16, Proposition 7, Sect. 9] and by Proposition 6.1 we have $P({}_s\varGamma^\wedge_\ell, t)P(B(R^\tau), -t) = 1$. Hence $P({}_s\varGamma^\wedge_\ell, t) = (1+t)^{N^2}$. Note that ${}_sJ \subseteq {}_WJ$ by (4). Since $P({}_s\varGamma^\wedge_\ell, t) = P({}_W\varGamma^\wedge_\ell, t)$ by Theorem 3.1, the ideals ${}_sJ$ and ${}_WJ$ coincide; the proof of Theorem 3.3 (i) is complete.

Now we want to compare the universal exterior algebra with the second antisymmetrizer exterior algebra. It turns out that the bi-invariant 2-form θ^2 is useful to decide whether or not these two differential Hopf algebras coincide. We often need the following formula. Applying $\mu(S \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta_\ell$, μ denotes the multiplication, to equation (13) gives

$$\theta \triangleleft a = \varepsilon(a)\theta + \omega(a). \tag{51}$$

The next lemma is also of interest for its own.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\varGamma$ be an inner bicovariant FODC over $\mathcal{A}$ with $\mathrm{d}a = \theta a - a\theta$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\varGamma^\wedge$ be an arbitrary bicovariant differential calculus over $\mathcal{A}$ that contains $\varGamma$ as its first order part.*

(i) *Then we have for $a \in \mathcal{A}$*

$$\theta^2 \triangleleft a = \varepsilon(a)\theta^2 + \theta\omega(a) + \omega(a)\theta - \mathrm{d}\omega(a), \tag{52}$$

$$(\mathrm{d}\theta) \triangleleft a = \varepsilon(a)\mathrm{d}\theta + \theta\omega(a) + \omega(a)\theta - \mathrm{d}\omega(a). \tag{53}$$

(ii) *If $\mathrm{d}\theta = 2\theta^2$ or if $\theta^2 = 0$, then $\varGamma^\wedge$ is inner with $\mathrm{d}\rho = \theta\rho - (-1)^n\rho\theta$, $\rho \in \varGamma^n$. In this situation θ^2 is central in $\varGamma^\wedge$.*

Proof. By (51) and (8) we have $\theta^2 \triangleleft a = \theta \triangleleft a_{(1)}(\theta \triangleleft a_{(2)}) = (\varepsilon(a_{(1)})\theta + \omega(a_{(1)}))(\varepsilon(a_{(2)})\theta + \omega(a_{(2)})) = \varepsilon(a)\theta^2 + \theta\omega(a) + \omega(a)\theta - \mathrm{d}\omega(a)$. Using $\mathrm{d}\theta\, b = \mathrm{d}(\theta b) + \theta \mathrm{d}b$, $\theta b = b\theta + \mathrm{d}b$, (51), and (8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}\theta \triangleleft a &= \sum Sa_{(1)}\mathrm{d}\theta a_{(2)} = \sum Sa_{(1)}(\mathrm{d}(\theta a_{(2)}) + \theta \mathrm{d}a_{(2)})\\ &= \sum Sa_{(1)}\mathrm{d}(a_{(2)}\theta + \mathrm{d}a_{(2)}) + \sum Sa_{(1)}\theta a_{(2)}Sa_{(3)}\mathrm{d}a_{(4)}\\ &= \sum Sa_{(1)}(\mathrm{d}a_{(2)}\theta + a_{(2)}\mathrm{d}\theta) + \sum(\varepsilon(a_{(1)})\theta + \omega(a_{(1)}))\omega(a_{(2)})\\ &= \omega(a)\theta + \varepsilon(a)\mathrm{d}\theta + \theta\omega(a) - \mathrm{d}\omega(a).\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Suppose first $\mathrm{d}\theta = 2\theta^2$. Taking the difference $2 \times (52) - (53)$, we have $0 = \theta\omega(a) + \omega(a)\theta - \mathrm{d}\omega(a)$. Since each $\rho \in \Gamma^1$ can be written in the form $\rho = \sum_i b_i\omega(a_i)$, $a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathrm{d}\rho = \sum_i((\theta b_i - b_i\theta)\omega(a_i) + b_i(\theta\omega(a_i) + \omega(a_i)\theta) = \theta\rho + \rho\theta$. The proof for $\rho \in \Gamma^k$, $k \geq 2$, goes by induction on k. We show θ^2 is central. Inserting $\mathrm{d}\omega(a) = \theta\omega(a) + \omega(a)\theta$ into (52) gives $\theta^2 \triangleleft a = \varepsilon(a)\theta^2$. Equivalently, $\theta^2 a = a\theta^2$. Since Γ is inner, $\theta^2\rho = \rho\theta^2$, $\rho \in \Gamma^k$, follows by induction on k. Suppose now $\theta^2 = 0$. Let $\rho = \sum_i a_i\mathrm{d}b_i$ be a 1-form. Then $\mathrm{d}\rho = \sum_i \mathrm{d}a_i\mathrm{d}b_i = \sum_i(\theta a_i - a_i\theta)\mathrm{d}b_i = \theta\rho - \sum_i a_i\theta(\theta b_i - b_i\theta) = \theta\rho + \sum_i a_i(\theta b_i - b_i\theta)\theta + \sum_i a_i(-\theta^2 b_i + b_i\theta^2) = \theta\rho + \rho\theta$. The proof for $\rho \in \Gamma^k$, $k \geq 2$, goes by induction on k. □

Throughout the remainder let $\eta = \mathscr{S}(U) = \sum_{i,j} q^{-2i}\omega_{ij}\otimes\omega_{ji}$. Note that $(\Gamma^{\otimes 2})_{\mathrm{i}} = \langle \vartheta \otimes \vartheta, \eta\rangle$ since $\dim \mathrm{Mor}(1, u^{\mathsf{c}}\otimes u\otimes u^{\mathsf{c}}\otimes u) = 2$. Using (16) and (51), similarly to the calculations in the preceding lemma one gets

$$\vartheta \triangleleft a = \mathfrak{n}_{\tau,z}\omega(a) + \varepsilon(a)\vartheta, \tag{54}$$

$$(\vartheta \otimes \vartheta) \triangleleft b = \mathfrak{n}^2_{\tau,z}\mathscr{S}(b) + \mathfrak{n}_{\tau,z}\big(\omega(b) \otimes \vartheta + \vartheta \otimes \omega(b)\big) + \varepsilon(b)\vartheta \otimes \vartheta. \tag{55}$$

By the definition of $\mathscr{S}$, $\omega(\widetilde{a}b) = \omega(a) \triangleleft b$, (54), $\omega(U) = \vartheta$, and $\varepsilon(U) = \mathfrak{s}$ one easily checks

$$\mathscr{S}(ab) = \mathscr{S}(a) \triangleleft b + (\omega(a) \triangleleft b_{(1)}) \otimes \omega(b_{(2)}) + \omega(b_{(1)}) \otimes (\omega(a) \triangleleft b_{(2)}) + \varepsilon(a)\mathscr{S}(b), \tag{56}$$

$$\mathscr{S}(Ub) = \eta \triangleleft b + (2\mathfrak{n}_{\tau,z} + \mathfrak{s})\mathscr{S}(b) + \vartheta \otimes \omega(b) + \omega(b) \otimes \vartheta. \tag{57}$$

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be one of the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{O}(GL_q(N))$ or $\mathcal{O}(SL_q(N))$, $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\tau,z}$, and q be transcendental. Then ${}_u\Gamma^\wedge \cong {}_s\Gamma^\wedge$ as differential Hopf algebras if and only if $\theta^2 = 0$ in ${}_u\Gamma^\wedge$.*

Proof. → Since θ is bi-invariant, $(I - \sigma)(\theta\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\theta) = 0$. Hence $\theta^2 = 0$ in ${}_s\Gamma^\wedge$.
← Suppose $\theta^2 = 0$ in ${}_u\Gamma^\wedge$. By universality of ${}_u\Gamma^\wedge$, ${}_s\Gamma^\wedge$ is a quotient of ${}_u\Gamma^\wedge$. To the converse relation. In [12, Sect. 5.3] it was shown that the quadratic algebra ${}_s\Gamma^\wedge_\ell$ has defining relations

$$\hat{R}^\tau\Theta_2\hat{R}^\tau\Theta_2\hat{R}^\tau + \Theta_2\hat{R}^\tau\Theta_2 = 0. \tag{58}$$

We have to prove that $\Theta = (\theta^i_j)$, $\theta^i_j \in {}_u\Gamma^\wedge$, satisfies (58). Then ${}_u\Gamma^\wedge$ would be a quotient of ${}_s\Gamma^\wedge$, and both differential Hopf algebras coincide.
Inserting $a = u^i_j$ into (52) and using $-\mathrm{d}\omega(u^i_j) = \sum_x \omega_{ix}\omega_{xj}$ (by (8)), we have $\theta\omega_{ij} + \omega_{ij}\theta + \sum_x \omega_{ix}\omega_{xj} = 0$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$. In matrix notation $\Omega = (\omega_{ij})$, $\theta\Omega + \Omega\theta + \Omega\Omega = 0$. By (16), $\theta^2 = 0$, and $z^2 r_\tau \neq 0$ we obtain

$$r_\tau\Theta\Theta + \theta\Theta + \Theta\theta = 0. \tag{59}$$

We complete the proof for the sample $\varGamma = \varGamma_{+,z}$. The proof for $\varGamma = \varGamma_{-,z}$ is analogous and uses $\hat{R}^{-2} = -\hat{q}\hat{R}^{-1} + I$ instead of $\hat{R}^2 = \hat{q}\hat{R} + I$. Applying $\triangleleft u$ to (59), using $\hat{R}^2 = \hat{q}\hat{R} + I$, and again (59) (to the underlined terms) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 =&(r_+\varTheta_1\varTheta_1 + \theta\varTheta_1 + \varTheta_1\theta) \triangleleft u_2 = (r_+\varTheta_1 + \theta I_1) \triangleleft u_2(\varTheta_1 \triangleleft u_2) + (\varTheta_1 \triangleleft u_2)(\theta I_1 \triangleleft u_2)\\
=&(r_+z\hat{R}\varTheta_2\hat{R} + r_+z\varTheta_2 + z\theta)z\hat{R}\varTheta_2\hat{R} + r_+z^2\hat{R}\varTheta_2\hat{R}\varTheta_2 + z^2\hat{R}\varTheta_2\theta\hat{R}\\
=&r_+z^2\hat{R}\varTheta_2(\hat{q}\hat{R} + \underline{I})\varTheta_2\hat{R} + r_+z^2\varTheta_2\hat{R}\varTheta_2\hat{R} + \underline{z^2\hat{R}(\theta\varTheta_2 + \varTheta_2\theta)\hat{R}} + r_+z^2\hat{R}\varTheta_2\hat{R}\varTheta_2\\
=&r_+z^2(\hat{q}\hat{R}\varTheta_2\hat{R}\varTheta_2\hat{R} + \varTheta_2\hat{R}\varTheta_2\hat{R} + \hat{R}\varTheta_2\hat{R}\varTheta_2) = r_+z^2\hat{R}(\hat{R}\varTheta_2\hat{R}\varTheta_2\hat{R} + \varTheta_2\hat{R}\varTheta_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $r_+z^2\hat{R}$ is invertible, $\varTheta$ satisfies (58); this completes the proof. □

Before proving $\theta^2 = 0$ for all cases except for $N = 2$, $\tau = +$, and $z^2 = q^{-2}$, we need a rather technical lemma that describes the right ideal $\mathcal{R}_{\tau,z}$, $\mathfrak{n}_{\tau,z} \neq 0$. First recall the defining parameters (for $\mathfrak{n}_{\tau,z}, r_\tau$ and $\mathfrak{r}_\pm$ see before (15); for $\mathfrak{s}_\pm$ see before (38)). We use the same notations as in [22, 23]. For $\epsilon \in \{+,-\}$ define $(v_\epsilon)^i_j := \sum_{k,n,m} q^{-2k}(P_\epsilon)^{ki}_{nm}u^n_ku^m_j$, and $V_\epsilon := \sum_i q^{-2i}(v_\epsilon)^i_i$. Set $\nu_{\tau,z} = \mathfrak{n}^{-1}_{\tau,z}(q^{-2\tau}z^{-N} - 1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{s}^+_\tau &= \mathfrak{s} + q^\tau r_\tau\check{q}, & \mathfrak{s}^-_\tau &= \mathfrak{s} + q^{-\tau}r_\tau\check{q},\\
\mathfrak{t}_+ &= \mathfrak{s} + 1, & \mathfrak{t}_- &= \mathfrak{s} - q^{-2N},\\
\alpha_\epsilon &= \check{q}^2\mathfrak{s}^{-1}_\epsilon, & \gamma_\epsilon &= q\check{q}\mathfrak{s}^{-1}\mathfrak{t}^{-1}_\epsilon,\\
\lambda^+_{\tau,z} &= \check{q}^{-1}q^\tau z\mathfrak{s}_+, & \lambda^-_{\tau,z} &= \check{q}^{-1}q^{-\tau}z\mathfrak{s}_-,\\
\mu^+_{\tau,z} &= \mathfrak{t}_+(z^2\mathfrak{s}^+_\tau - \mathfrak{s})(q\check{q}\mathfrak{n}_{\tau,z})^{-1}, & \mu^-_{\tau,z} &= \mathfrak{t}_-(z^2\mathfrak{s}^-_\tau - \mathfrak{s})(q\check{q}\mathfrak{n}_{\tau,z})^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The following identities are easily checked

$$q^{2\tau}\mathfrak{s}_+ + q^{-2\tau}\mathfrak{s}_- = \mathfrak{s}^+_\tau + \mathfrak{s}^-_\tau = 2\mathfrak{s} + r_\tau\check{q}^2, \tag{60}$$

$$q^\tau\mathfrak{s}_+ + q^{-\tau}\mathfrak{s}_- = \check{q}(\mathfrak{s} + r_\tau). \tag{61}$$

By (61), $\lambda_{\tau,z} := \lambda^+_{\tau,z} + \lambda^-_{\tau,z} = z\mathfrak{r}_\tau$. Define the $N^2 \times N^2$-matrices $P_\iota = (P_\iota)^{ab}_{rs}$, $\iota = 0, 1$, by $(P_0)^{ab}_{rs} = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{s}}q^{-2a}\delta_{ab}\delta_{rs}$, $P_1 = I - P_0$. Obviously, P_0 and P_1 are projection operators and span $\mathrm{Mor}(u^{\mathrm{c}} \otimes u)$. For $(\epsilon, \iota) \in \{+,-\} \times \{0,1\}$ define the map $Q_{\epsilon,\iota} \in \mathrm{End}(V^{\otimes 2}, V^{\otimes 4})$ by

$$(Q_{\epsilon,\iota})^{abmn}_{rs} = \sum_{x,y,z}(P_\epsilon)^{ab}_{xy}(P_\iota)^{mn}_{yz}(P_\epsilon)^{xz}_{rs}.$$

Since $P_\epsilon \in \mathrm{Mor}(u \otimes u)$ and $P_\iota \in \mathrm{Mor}(u^{\mathrm{c}} \otimes u)$, $Q \in \mathrm{Mor}(u \otimes u, u \otimes u \otimes u^{\mathrm{c}} \otimes u)$ is easily checked.

Lemma 6.4. *Let* $\varGamma = \varGamma_{\tau,z}$. (i)

$$u^a_ru^b_s - \delta_{ar}\delta_{bs} \equiv \sum_{\epsilon,\iota,m,n} c_{\epsilon,\iota}(Q_{\epsilon,\iota})^{abmn}_{rs}\widetilde{u^m_n} \mod \mathcal{R}_{\tau,z}, \tag{62}$$

where $c_{\epsilon,1} = \alpha_\epsilon\lambda^\epsilon_{\tau,z}$ *and* $c_{\epsilon,0} = \mathfrak{s}\gamma_\epsilon\mu^\epsilon_{\tau,z}$.
(ii)

$$\mathscr{S}(u^a_ru^b_s) = \sum_{\epsilon,\iota,\iota'} c_{\epsilon,\iota}c_{\epsilon,\iota'}(Q_{\epsilon,\iota})^{abmn}_{xy}(Q_{\epsilon,\iota'})^{xyvw}_{rs}\omega_{mn} \otimes \omega_{vw},$$

(summation over m, n, x, y, v, and w).
(iii) $\mathscr{S}(W_\epsilon) = A^\epsilon_{\tau,z}\eta + B^\epsilon_{\tau,z}\vartheta \otimes \vartheta$, *where* $W_\epsilon = V_\epsilon - \mu^\epsilon_{\tau,z}U$ *and*

$$A^\epsilon_{\tau,z} = \alpha_\epsilon(\lambda^\epsilon_{\tau,z})^2 - \mu^\epsilon_{\tau,z}, \quad B^\epsilon_{\tau,z} = \gamma_\epsilon(\mu^\epsilon_{\tau,z})^2 - \mathfrak{s}^{-1}\alpha_\epsilon(\lambda^\epsilon_{\tau,z})^2. \tag{63}$$

Proof. (i) Throughout the proof we sum over repeated indices. Recall [23, formula (2), (3), p. 318]: $(v_\pm)^i_j - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{s}}\delta_{ij}V_\pm \equiv \lambda^\pm_{\tau,z}(u^i_j - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{s}}\delta_{ij}U) \mod \mathcal{R}_{\tau,z}$ and

$$\widetilde{W_\epsilon} = \widetilde{V_\epsilon} - \mu^\epsilon_{\tau,z}\widetilde{U} \equiv 0 \mod \mathcal{R}_{\tau,z}. \tag{64}$$

Inserting this into the main formula of the proof of [22, Lemma 4.4]

$$\begin{aligned} u^a_r u^b_s - \delta_{ar}\delta_{bs} &\equiv \mathfrak{s}_+^{-1}\check{q}^2(P_+)^{ab}_{xy}\widetilde{v_{+}{}^{y}_{z}}(P_+)^{xz}_{rs} + \mathfrak{s}_-^{-1}\check{q}^2(P_-)^{ab}_{xy}\widetilde{v_{-}{}^{y}_{z}}(P_-)^{xz}_{rs} \\ &\quad - q\check{q}\mathfrak{s}_+^{-1}\mathfrak{t}_+^{-1}(P_+)^{ab}_{rs}\widetilde{V_+} - q\check{q}\mathfrak{s}_-^{-1}\mathfrak{t}_-^{-1}(P_-)^{ab}_{rs}\widetilde{V_-}, \end{aligned}$$

and using $\mathfrak{s}_\epsilon = q^{-1}\check{q}\,\mathfrak{t}_\epsilon - \mathfrak{s}$, a number of long computations gives (62).
(ii) By the definition of $\mathscr{S}$, (62), and $\omega(a) = \omega(b)$ for $a \equiv b \mod \mathcal{R}_{\tau,z}$,

$$\mathscr{S}(u^a_r u^b_s) = \omega(u^a_x u^b_y) \otimes \omega(u^x_r u^y_s) = \sum_{\epsilon,\epsilon'\iota,\iota'} c_{\epsilon,\iota}c_{\epsilon',\iota'}(Q_{\epsilon,\iota})^{abmn}_{xy}(Q_{\epsilon',\iota'})^{xyvw}_{rs}\omega_{mn} \otimes \omega_{vw}.$$

Since $P_\epsilon P_{\epsilon'} = \delta_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}P_\epsilon$, (ii) follows as claimed.
(iii) By definition of V_ϵ, $P_\epsilon P_{\epsilon'} = \delta_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}P_\epsilon$, and $q^{-2x-2y}(P_\epsilon)^{ij}_{xy} = q^{-2i-2j}(P_\epsilon)^{ij}_{xy}$ (no summation),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{S}(V_\epsilon) &= q^{-2i-2j}(P_\epsilon)^{ij}_{xy}\sum_{\epsilon',\iota,\iota'} c_{\epsilon'\iota}c_{\epsilon'\iota'}(P_{\epsilon'})^{xy}_{ab}(P_\iota)^{mn}_{bc}(P_{\epsilon'})^{ac}_{ed}(P_{\iota'})^{vw}_{df}(P_{\epsilon'})^{ef}_{ij}\omega_{mn} \otimes \omega_{vw} \\ &= q^{-2x-2y}\sum_{\iota,\iota'} c_{\epsilon\iota}c_{\epsilon\iota'}(P_\epsilon)^{xy}_{ab}(P_\iota)^{mn}_{bc}(P_\epsilon)^{ac}_{xd}(P_{\iota'})^{vw}_{dy}\omega_{mn} \otimes \omega_{vw}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $T_\epsilon = (T^{bcdy}_\epsilon)$, $T^{bcdy}_\epsilon = q^{-2x-2y}(P_\epsilon)^{xy}_{ab}(P_\epsilon)^{ac}_{xd}$ (no summation over y), belongs to $\mathrm{Mor}(1, u^{\mathsf{c}}\otimes u\otimes u^{\mathsf{c}}\otimes u)$. Since there exists a linear isomorphism of the latter space onto $\mathrm{Mor}(u^{\mathsf{c}}\otimes u)$, it is two-dimensional. The two morphisms Q_0 and Q_1, $Q^{bcdy}_\iota := q^{-2y}(P_\iota)^{bc}_{yd}$, $\iota = 0, 1$ (no summation over y), form a basis of $\mathrm{Mor}(1, u^{\mathsf{c}}\otimes u\otimes u^{\mathsf{c}}\otimes u)$. By (39), $T_\epsilon = \alpha_\epsilon^{-1}Q_1 + \mathfrak{s}^{-1}\gamma_\epsilon^{-1}Q_0$. Moreover, $(P_\iota \otimes P_{\iota'})Q_{\iota''} = \delta_{\iota,\iota'}\delta_{\iota,\iota''}Q_\iota$, $Q^{mnvw}_0\omega_{mn} \otimes \omega_{vw} = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{s}}\vartheta \otimes \vartheta$, and $Q^{mnvw}_1\omega_{mn} \otimes \omega_{vw} = \eta - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{s}}\vartheta \otimes \vartheta$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{S}(V_\epsilon) &= (\alpha_\epsilon^{-1}c^2_{\epsilon,1}Q^{mnvw}_1 + \mathfrak{s}^{-1}\gamma_\epsilon^{-1}c^2_{\epsilon,0}Q^{mnvw}_0)\omega_{mn} \otimes \omega_{vw} \\ &= \alpha_\epsilon(\lambda^\epsilon_{\tau,z})^2\eta + \big(\gamma_\epsilon(\mu^\epsilon_{\tau,z})^2 - \mathfrak{s}^{-1}\alpha_\epsilon(\lambda^\epsilon_{\tau,z})^2\big)\vartheta \otimes \vartheta. \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Since $\mathscr{S}(1) = 0$ and $\mathscr{S}(U) = \eta$, the proof of (iii) is complete. □

Lemma 6.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be one of the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{O}(GL_q(N))$ or $\mathcal{O}(SL_q(N))$. Let $\mathit{\Gamma} = \mathit{\Gamma}_{\tau,z}$, $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\tau,z}$, and suppose $\mathfrak{n}_{\tau,z} \neq 0$. Then for $N \geq 3$, $\tau \in \{+,-\}$, and for $N = 2$, $\tau = +$, and $z^2 \neq q^{-2}$ we have $\vartheta^2 = 0$ in ${}_u\mathit{\Gamma}^\wedge$.*

Proof. The proof divides into two parts. The easy part is $z^{2N} \neq q^{-4\tau}$. Treating the critical values $z^N = q^{-2\tau}$ and $z^N = -q^{-2\tau}$ is more difficult. Note that the condition $z^N = q^{-2\tau}$ is necessary (!) for $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(SL_q(N))$, see before (10). To make notations simpler, throughout

the proof we skip the index τ, z in $A^\epsilon_{\tau,z}, B^\epsilon_{\tau,z}, \mathfrak{n}_{\tau,z}, \nu_{\tau,z}, \lambda^\epsilon_{\tau,z}, \mu^\epsilon_{\tau,z}$, and $\lambda_{\tau,z}$.
Case 1. $q^{4\tau}z^{2N} \neq 1$. By [23, formula (3) p. 318], and by [23, Theorem 2.1 (iii)]

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}} \equiv \nu \widetilde{U} \mod \mathcal{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{D}} \equiv -z^N q^{2\tau} \nu \widetilde{U} \mod \mathcal{R}.$$

Since $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ are group-like, by $\mathscr{S}(\widetilde{U}) = \eta$, and by $\omega(\widetilde{U}) = \vartheta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}} - \nu\widetilde{U}) &= \nu^2 \vartheta \otimes \vartheta - \nu\eta, \\ \mathscr{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}} + z^N q^{2\tau} \nu \widetilde{U}) &= z^{2N} q^{4\tau} \nu^2 \vartheta \otimes \vartheta + z^N q^{2\tau} \nu \eta. \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Since $q^{2\tau} z^N \neq 1$, $\nu \neq 0$; hence $\eta = \nu\vartheta^2 = -z^N q^{2\tau} \nu \vartheta^2$ in ${}_u\mathit{\Gamma}^\wedge$. Thus $\vartheta^2 = 0$ since $q^{2\tau} z^N \neq -1$.
Case 2. $(z^N q^{2\tau} - 1)(z^N q^{2\tau} + 1) = 0$.
We set $x := \widetilde{U}U - \lambda\widetilde{U}$. Note that $x = \widetilde{W_+} + \widetilde{W_-}$. By (64) and by $\mu^+ + \mu^- = \lambda + \mathfrak{s}$, $x \equiv 0 \mod \mathcal{R}$. By Lemma 6.4 (iii), $\mathscr{S}(x) = A\eta + B\vartheta \otimes \vartheta$ where $A = A^+ + A^-$ and $B = B^+ + B^-$. Inserting $b = U$ into (55) and $b = \widetilde{U}$ into (57) the preceding gives

$$(\vartheta \otimes \vartheta) \triangleleft U = \mathfrak{n}^2 \eta + (2\mathfrak{n} + \mathfrak{s}) \vartheta \otimes \vartheta, \quad \eta \triangleleft U = (A - \mathfrak{n} + \mathfrak{s})\eta + (B - 2)\vartheta \otimes \vartheta. \tag{67}$$

By (56), $\mathscr{S}(rb) = \mathscr{S}(r) \triangleleft b$, $r \in \mathcal{R}$, $b \in \mathcal{A}$. In particular, for $r = x$ and $b = U$ it follows from (67) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{S}(xU) &= A\eta \triangleleft U + B(\vartheta \otimes \vartheta) \triangleleft U \\ &= (A(A - \mathfrak{n} + \mathfrak{s}) + B\mathfrak{n}^2)\eta + (A(B-2) + B(2\mathfrak{n} + \mathfrak{s}))\vartheta \otimes \vartheta \\ &=: A'\eta + B'\vartheta \otimes \vartheta. \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Suppose the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ A' & B' \end{pmatrix}$ is regular. Then $\vartheta \otimes \vartheta$ is a linear combination of $\mathscr{S}(x)$ and $\mathscr{S}(xU)$. Hence $\vartheta \otimes \vartheta \in \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$ as desired. Otherwise the matrix is singular, equivalently $(A - \mathfrak{n}B)(2A - \mathfrak{n}B) = 0$. Repeating the above argumentations with $\{\mathscr{S}(x), \mathscr{S}(\widetilde{W_+}U)\}$, $\{\mathscr{S}(x), \mathscr{S}(\widetilde{W_-}U)\}$, $\{\mathscr{S}(\widetilde{W_+}), \mathscr{S}(\widetilde{W_+}U)\}$, and $\{\mathscr{S}(\widetilde{W_-}), \mathscr{S}(\widetilde{W_-}U)\}$ instead of $\{\mathscr{S}(x), \mathscr{S}(xU)\}$, we end up with $\vartheta \otimes \vartheta \in \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$ or two possibilities: $2A^+ = \mathfrak{n}B^+, 2A^- = \mathfrak{n}B^-$ or $A^+ = \mathfrak{n}B^+, A^- = \mathfrak{n}B^-$.
Case 2.1. $2A^\epsilon = \mathfrak{n}B^\epsilon$, $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$. Suppose first $N \geq 3$. Since q is transcendental λ^ϵ is nonzero. Inserting the values (63) for A^ϵ and B^ϵ, using $\gamma_\epsilon \mu^\epsilon = \mathfrak{s}^{-1}\mathfrak{n}^{-1}(z^2\mathfrak{s}^\epsilon_\tau - \mathfrak{s})$ and $\lambda = \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{n}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha_\epsilon(\lambda^\epsilon)^2 - 2\mu^\epsilon &= \mathfrak{n}\frac{z^2\mathfrak{s}^\epsilon_\tau - \mathfrak{s}}{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{n}}\mu^\epsilon - \frac{\lambda - \mathfrak{s}}{\mathfrak{s}}\alpha_\epsilon(\lambda^\epsilon)^2, \\ (\lambda + \mathfrak{s})\alpha_\epsilon(\lambda^\epsilon)^2 &= (z^2\mathfrak{s}^\epsilon_\tau + \mathfrak{s})\mu^\epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Suppose $\mu^\epsilon = 0$ for some $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$. By (69), $\lambda + \mathfrak{s} = z\mathfrak{r}_\tau + \mathfrak{s} = 0$, because $\alpha_\epsilon(\lambda^\epsilon)^2 \neq 0$. By the definition of μ^ϵ, $z^2\mathfrak{s}^\epsilon_\tau - \mathfrak{s} = 0$. Thus $z = -q^{-\epsilon\tau}$ and q is a root of unity. This contradicts our assumption. Hence $\mu^+\mu^- \neq 0$. Inserting $\lambda + \mathfrak{s} = \mu^+ + \mu^-$ into (69) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^+(\alpha_+(\lambda^+)^2 - z^2\mathfrak{s}^+_\tau - \mathfrak{s}) &= -\alpha_+(\lambda^+)^2\mu^-, \\ \mu^-(\alpha_-(\lambda^-)^2 - z^2\mathfrak{s}^-_\tau - \mathfrak{s}) &= -\alpha_-(\lambda^-)^2\mu^+. \end{aligned}$$

We take the product of both equations, divide by $\mu^+\mu^-$ and afterwards insert $\alpha_\epsilon(\lambda^\epsilon)^2 = q^{2\epsilon\tau}z^2\mathfrak{s}_\epsilon$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_+(\lambda^+)^2(z^2\mathfrak{s}_\tau^- + \mathfrak{s}) + \alpha_-(\lambda^-)^2(z^2\mathfrak{s}_\tau^+ + \mathfrak{s}) &= (z^2\mathfrak{s}_\tau^- + \mathfrak{s})(z^2\mathfrak{s}_\tau^- + \mathfrak{s}),\\ z^2\big(q^{2\tau}\mathfrak{s}_+(z^2\mathfrak{s}_\tau^- + \mathfrak{s}) + q^{-2\tau}\mathfrak{s}_-(z^2\mathfrak{s}_\tau^+ + \mathfrak{s})\big) &= z^4\mathfrak{s}_\tau^+\mathfrak{s}_\tau^- - z^2\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{s}_\tau^+ + \mathfrak{s}_\tau^-) + \mathfrak{s}^2.\end{aligned}$$

By (60) the coefficient of z^2 vanishes. Inserting $\mathfrak{s}_\tau^\epsilon = \mathfrak{s} + q^{\tau\epsilon}r_\tau\check{q}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= z^4(q^{2\tau}\mathfrak{s}_+(\mathfrak{s} + q^{-\tau}r_\tau\check{q}) + q^{-2\tau}\mathfrak{s}_-(\mathfrak{s} + q^\tau r_\tau\check{q}) - (\mathfrak{s} + q^{-\tau}r_\tau\check{q})(\mathfrak{s} + q^\tau r_\tau\check{q})) - \mathfrak{s}^2,\\ 0 &= z^4((q^{2\tau}\mathfrak{s}_+ + q^{-2\tau}\mathfrak{s}_-)\mathfrak{s} + (q^\tau\mathfrak{s}_+ + q^{-\tau}\mathfrak{s}_-)\check{q}r_\tau - \mathfrak{s}^2 - \mathfrak{s}r_\tau\check{q}^2 - r_\tau^2\check{q}^2).\end{aligned}$$

Using (60), (61), and $\mathfrak{s} \neq 0$ we have $z^4(\mathfrak{s} + \check{q}^2r_\tau) - \mathfrak{s} = 0$. Since $z^{2N} = q^{-4\tau}$, this gives for $\tau = +$

$$(q^{2N+2} + q^{2N} + q^{2N-6} + q^{2N-8} + \cdots + 1)^N - q^8(q^{2N-2} + q^{2N-4} + \cdots + 1)^N = 0,$$

and for $\tau = -$

$$(q^{2N+2} + q^{2N} + \cdots + q^8 + q^2 + 1)^N - q^{4N-8}(q^{2N-2} + q^{2N-4} + \cdots + 1)^N = 0.$$

This contradicts our assumption that q is transcendental. Hence $2A^\epsilon = \mathfrak{n}B^\epsilon$ is impossible for $N \geq 3$. Now let $N = 2$, $\mathit{\Gamma} = \mathit{\Gamma}_{+,z}$, $z^2 = -q^{-2}$. Corresponding to $z = \pm\mathrm{i}q^{-1}$ ($\mathrm{i} = \sqrt{-1}$), the defining parameter are $\lambda = \lambda^+ = \pm\mathrm{i}(q^{-1} + q^{-5})$, $\alpha_+\lambda = \pm\mathrm{i}(q + q^{-1})$, $\mu^+ = -\mathfrak{n}^{-1}(1 + q^{-2} + q^{-4})(q^{-2}+q^{-6})$, $\mathfrak{n} = \pm\mathrm{i}q^{-1}-q^{-2}-q^{-4}\pm\mathrm{i}q^{-5}$, $\gamma_+(\mu^+)^2 = \mathfrak{n}^{-2}(q^4+q^2+1)(q^{-2}+q^{-6})^2$. Inserting these values into (63), elementary transformations of equation $2A^+ - \mathfrak{n}B^+ = 0$ lead in both cases to $(q^2 + 1)^2(q^4 + 1) = 0$. This contradicts our assumption that q is transcendental.

Case 2.2. $A^\epsilon = \mathfrak{n}B^\epsilon$, $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$. Similarly to the derivation of (69) we obtain $\lambda\alpha_\epsilon(\lambda^\epsilon)^2 = z^2\mu^\epsilon\mathfrak{s}_\tau^\epsilon$. Inserting $\alpha_\epsilon(\lambda^\epsilon)^2 = q^{2\epsilon\tau}z^2\mathfrak{s}_\epsilon$ and dividing by z^2 we get $q^{2\epsilon\tau}\lambda\mathfrak{s}_\epsilon = \mu^\epsilon\mathfrak{s}_\tau^\epsilon$. Using $q^{2\tau\epsilon}\mathfrak{s}_\epsilon = \tau\epsilon\hat{q}^{-1}\check{q}r_\tau + \mathfrak{s}_\tau^\epsilon$, subtracting $\lambda\mathfrak{s}_\tau^\epsilon$, and multiplying by $\mathfrak{s}_\tau^{-\epsilon}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\tau\hat{q}^{-1}\check{q}r_\tau\mathfrak{s}_\tau^- &= \mathfrak{s}_\tau^+\mathfrak{s}_\tau^-(\mu^+ - \lambda),\\ -\lambda\tau\hat{q}^{-1}\check{q}r_\tau\mathfrak{s}_\tau^+ &= \mathfrak{s}_\tau^+\mathfrak{s}_\tau^-(\mu^- - \lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Taking the sum of both equations, using $\mu^+ + \mu^- = \lambda + \mathfrak{s}$, and $\mathfrak{s}_\tau^- - \mathfrak{s}_\tau^+ = -p\tau\hat{q}\check{q}r_\tau$ we obtain $-\lambda\check{q}^2r_\tau^2 = (\mathfrak{s} - \lambda)\mathfrak{s}_\tau^+\mathfrak{s}_\tau^-$. Hence $\lambda = \dfrac{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{s}_\tau^+\mathfrak{s}_\tau^-}{(\mathfrak{s}_\tau^+\mathfrak{s}_\tau^- - \check{q}^2r_\tau^2)}$. Using $\mathfrak{s}_\tau^+\mathfrak{s}_\tau^- - \check{q}^2r_\tau^2 = \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{s} + r_\tau\check{q}^2)$ gives $\lambda = \dfrac{\mathfrak{s}_\tau^+\mathfrak{s}_\tau^-}{\mathfrak{s} + r_\tau\check{q}^2}$. Inserting $\lambda = z(\mathfrak{s} + r_\tau)$ we finally have

$$z(\mathfrak{s} + r_\tau)(\mathfrak{s} + r_\tau\check{q}^2) = (\mathfrak{s} + q^\tau\check{q}r_\tau)(\mathfrak{s} + q^{-\tau}\check{q}r_\tau).$$

Since $z^{2N} = q^{-4\tau}$, we conclude that q is algebraic. This contradicts our assumption; the case $A^\epsilon = \mathfrak{n}B^\epsilon$ is impossible. Summarising the results of Case 2.1 and Case 2.2, $\vartheta^2 = 0$ in ${}_u\mathit{\Gamma}^\wedge$, and the proof is complete. □

Combining the preceding with Proposition 6.3 and using $\theta^2 = \mathfrak{n}^{-2}\vartheta^2$ proves Theorem 3.3 (ii). To prove Theorem 3.3 (iii) we will show that $(\ker A_2)_\ell = \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R}) \oplus \langle\vartheta \otimes \vartheta\rangle$. Since $(\mathit{\Gamma}^\otimes)_\ell$ is a free associative algebra, this proves $\vartheta \otimes \vartheta \notin {}_uJ$. In Step 1 we will demonstrate $\vartheta\otimes\vartheta\notin\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$. In Step 2 we will show that $\dim\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R}) \geq 9$. Since $\dim(\ker A_2)_\ell = 10$, by Theorem 3.1, and since $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq (\ker A_2)_\ell$ this proves the assertion. The remainder of this section is exclusively concerned with the calculus $\mathit{\Gamma}_{+,z}$, $z^2 = q^{-2}$, on $\mathcal{O}(GL_q(2))$ or $\mathcal{O}(SL_q(2))$, so we skip the index $+, z$.

Step 1. We fix $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(GL_q(2))$ and discuss the the necessary modifications for $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(SL_q(2))$ parallel. Roughly speaking, all conclusions remain valid if we formally set $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{T} = 1$. Let us collect the defining parameters. Since $\nu = 0$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ belong to $\mathcal{R}$, and furthermore, by (66), $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{D}) = 0$. We have $\lambda^- = \mu^- = 0$. Corresponding to $z = \pm q^{-1}$ we find $\mathfrak{n} = \pm q^{-1} - q^{-2} - q^{-4} \pm q^{-5}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^+ &= \pm(q^{-1} + q^{-5}), & c_{+,1} &= \pm\check{q}, \\ \mu^+ &= \pm q^{-5}(q \pm 1)^2(q^2 \mp q + 1), & c_{+,0} &= \pm\check{q}(q \pm 1)^2(q^2 \pm q + 1)^{-1}, \\ A &= q^{-6}(q \mp 1)(q^5 \mp 1), & B &= \pm 2q^{-1}(q^5 \mp 1)(q^3 \mp 1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Our aim is to show that $\xi = \mathscr{S}(x)$, $x = \widetilde{U}U - \lambda\widetilde{U}$, is the only bi-invariant element of $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is cosemisimple and since $\mathscr{S} \colon \mathcal{R} \to \varGamma_\ell \otimes \varGamma_\ell$ intertwines Ad_r and Δ_r, $\ker(\mathscr{S}\restriction\mathcal{R})$ has an Ad_r-invariant complement $\mathcal{R}_1$ in $\mathcal{R}$ where $\mathscr{S}$ acts injectively. Thus if $\mathscr{S}(r)$, $r \in \mathcal{R}$, is bi-invariant, $r_1 = (\mathscr{S}\restriction\mathcal{R}_1)^{-1}(\mathscr{S}(r))$ satisfies $\mathscr{S}(r_1) = \mathscr{S}(r)$ and $\mathrm{Ad}_\mathrm{r}(r_1) = r_1 \otimes 1$. Consequently, the subspace of bi-invariant elements of $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})$ equals $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R}_\mathrm{inv})$, where $\mathcal{R}_\mathrm{inv} = \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{A}_\mathrm{inv}$ and $\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{inv} = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : \mathrm{Ad}_\mathrm{r}\, a = a \otimes 1\}$.

We are going to describe $\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{inv}$. Let $v = (v^i_j)$ be an irreducible corepresentation of $\mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathrm{Ad}_\mathrm{r}\, v^i_j = \sum v^x_y \otimes (v^\mathrm{c} \otimes v)^{xy}_{ij}$, by Schur's Lemma there is a unique up to scalars Ad_r-invariant element $\zeta(v)$, $\zeta(v) \in \langle v^i_j \rangle$. On the other hand since $\mathcal{A}$ is cosemisimple, $\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{inv} = \bigoplus_v \langle \zeta(v) \rangle$, where we sum over all irreducible corepresentations v of $\mathcal{A}$. The set of irreducible corepresentations of $\mathcal{O}(GL_q(2))$ and $\mathcal{O}(SL_q(2))$ has been described in [11, Sects. 11.5 and 4.2]. Each corepresentation of $\mathcal{A}$ can be obtained from 1, u, $\mathcal{D}$, and $\mathcal{T}$ (resp. 1 and u for $\mathcal{O}(SL_q(2))$) by taking tensor products, direct sums, and formal differences. Using suitable normalisation, $\zeta(v \otimes w) = \zeta(v)\zeta(w)$ and $\zeta(v \oplus w) = \zeta(v) + \zeta(w)$ for irreducible corepresentations v and w. Consequently, $\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{inv} = \mathbb{C}[U, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}]$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{inv} = \mathbb{C}[U]$ for $\mathcal{O}(SL_q(2))$). Next we will show that $\mathcal{R}' := \{x, \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}\}\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{inv}$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}' := x\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{inv}$ for $\mathcal{O}(SL_q(2))$) coincides with $\mathcal{R}_\mathrm{inv}$. For we will prove that

$$\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{inv} = \mathcal{R}' + \langle U \rangle + \mathbb{C}. \tag{70}$$

Since $\mathcal{D}a = \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}a + a \in \mathcal{R}' + a$ and $\mathcal{T}a = \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}a + a \in \mathcal{R}' + a$, $a \in \mathcal{A}_\mathrm{inv}$, it suffices to prove that $U^k \in \mathcal{R}' + \langle U \rangle + \mathbb{C}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For $k = 0, 1$ this is trivial. For $k \geq 2$ use $U^2 = x + (\lambda + \mathfrak{s})U - \lambda\mathfrak{s}$ and induction on k. Moreover (15) implies $\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{inv} = \mathcal{R}_\mathrm{inv} \oplus \langle U \rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}$. Hence by the above equation, $\operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{inv}} \mathcal{R}' \leq 2 = \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{inv}} \mathcal{R}_\mathrm{inv}$. Since $\mathcal{R}' \subseteq \mathcal{R}_\mathrm{inv}$, $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}_\mathrm{inv}$.

By (55) and (57), $\eta \triangleleft \mathcal{D}^{\pm 1} = \eta$ and $(\vartheta \otimes \vartheta) \triangleleft \mathcal{D}^{\pm 1} = \vartheta \otimes \vartheta$. Hence $\xi \triangleleft \mathcal{D}^{\pm 1} = \xi$. By the preceding and since $\mathscr{S}(ra) = \mathscr{S}(r) \triangleleft a$, $r \in \mathcal{R}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R}_\mathrm{inv}) = \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R}') = \mathscr{S}(x) \triangleleft \mathcal{A}_\mathrm{inv} = \xi \triangleleft \mathcal{A}_\mathrm{inv}$. Consequently, $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R}_\mathrm{inv}) = \xi \triangleleft \mathbb{C}[U]$ because $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ act trivial on ξ. Thus $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R}_\mathrm{inv}) = \langle \xi \rangle$ since $\xi \triangleleft U = (A + \lambda)\xi$ by (68). This completes the proof of Step 1.

Step 2. All arguments go through for both $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(GL_q(2))$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(SL_q(2))$. We will show that $\mathscr{S}$ acts injectively on each of the subspaces spanned by the following five resp. three elements of $\mathcal{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(u^1_1)^2 + q^2(u^2_2)^2 - (1 + q^2)(u^1_1 u^2_2 + q^{-1} u^1_2 u^2_1),\ (u^1_2)^2,\ (u^2_1)^2,\ u^1_2(u^1_1 - u^2_2),\ u^2_1(u^1_1 - u^2_2), \\ &(U - z\mathfrak{r}_+)u^1_2,\ (U - z\mathfrak{r}_+)u^2_1,\ (U - z\mathfrak{r}_+)(u^1_1 - u^2_2), \end{aligned}$$

cf. [26, (1.24) and (1.25)]. Since both subspaces are minimal with respect to Ad_r and since $\mathscr{S}$ intertwines Ad_r and Δ_r, it suffices to prove that $\mathscr{S}$ is non-zero on each of these subspaces. By

Lemma 6.4 (ii) and since $(P_-)^{11}_{xy} = 0$, $(P_+)^{11}_{xy} = \delta_{x1}\delta_{y1}$, $(P_+)^{ce}_{22} = \delta_{c2}\delta_{e2}$, and $(P_+)^{1z}_{2d} = \check{q}^{-1}\delta_{z2}\delta_{d1}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{S}(u^1_2u^1_2) &= \sum_{\epsilon,\iota,\iota'} c_{\epsilon,\iota}c_{\epsilon,\iota'}(P_\epsilon)^{11}_{xy}(P_\iota)^{mn}_{yz}(P_\epsilon)^{xz}_{cd}(P_{\iota'})^{vw}_{dl}(P_\epsilon)^{ce}_{22}\omega_{mn}\otimes\omega_{vw},\\ &= \sum_{\iota,\iota'} c_{+,\iota}c_{+,\iota'}(P_\iota)^{mn}_{1z}(P_+)^{1z}_{2d}(P_{\iota'})^{vw}_{d2}\omega_{mn}\otimes\omega_{vw},\\ &= \sum_{\iota,\iota'} \check{q}^{-1}c_{+,\iota}c_{+,\iota'}(P_\iota)^{mn}_{12}(P_{\iota'})^{vw}_{12}\omega_{mn}\otimes\omega_{vw} = \check{q}^{-1}c^2_{+,1}\omega_{12}\otimes\omega_{12} = \check{q}\omega_{12}\otimes\omega_{12}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $q^2+1\neq 0$, $\mathscr{S}$ is injective on the 5-dimensional subspace.
In a similar way we will compute some part of $\mathscr{S}\big((U-\lambda)u^1_2\big) = q^{-2}\mathscr{S}(u^1_1u^1_2)+q^{-4}\mathscr{S}(u^2_2u^1_2) - \lambda(\omega_{11}\otimes\omega_{12}+\omega_{12}\otimes\omega_{22})$. With the abbreviation $(\omega_\iota)_{ij} = \sum_{mn}(P_\iota)^{mn}_{ij}\omega_{mn}$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{S}(u^1_1u^1_2) &= \sum_{\iota,\iota'} \check{q}^{-2}c_{+,\iota}c_{+,\iota'}\big((\omega_\iota)_{12}\otimes(\omega_{\iota'})_{11} + q^2(\omega_\iota)_{12}\otimes(\omega_{\iota'})_{22} + q\check{q}(\omega_\iota)_{11}\otimes(\omega_{\iota'})_{12}\big),\\ \mathscr{S}(u^2_2u^1_2) &= \sum_{\iota,\iota'} \check{q}^{-2}c_{+,\iota}c_{+,\iota'}\big((\omega_\iota)_{22}\otimes(\omega_{\iota'})_{12} + q^{-2}(\omega_\iota)_{11}\otimes(\omega_{\iota'})_{12} + q^{-1}\check{q}(\omega_\iota)_{12}\otimes(\omega_{\iota'})_{22}\big).\end{aligned}$$

For the coefficient of $\omega_{12}\otimes\vartheta$ in $\mathscr{S}\big((U-\lambda)u^1_2\big)$ with respect to the basis $\{\omega_{12},\, \omega_{21},\, \omega_{11} - \mathfrak{s}^{-1}\vartheta,\, \vartheta\}$ we get

$$q^2\check{q}^{-1}(q^2\pm q+1)^{-1}(q^{-1}+q^{-5})(q^4\pm q^3+q^2\pm q+1).$$

Since q is not a root of unity, $\mathscr{S}\big((U-\lambda)u^1_2\big)$ is nonzero. Hence $\mathscr{S}$ is injective on the 3-dimensional subspace. The proof of $(\ker A_2)_\ell = \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{R})\oplus\langle\vartheta\otimes\vartheta\rangle$ is complete. To the last assertion. Obviously $2A-\mathfrak{n}B=0$. Since $\xi = A\eta + B\vartheta\otimes\vartheta$, $\eta = -2\mathfrak{n}^{-1}\vartheta^2$ in ${}_u\Gamma^\wedge$. By (16) and by $\eta = -\mathrm{d}\vartheta$, $\mathrm{d}\theta = 2\theta^2$. Thus by Lemma 6.2 (ii), θ^2 is central and ${}_u\Gamma^\wedge$ is inner; this completes the proof.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to I. Heckenberger, S. Majid, A. Ram and A. Sudbery for valuable discussions.

References

1. T. Brzeziński, Remark on bicovariant differential calculi and exterior Hopf algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **27** (1993), 287–300.
2. C. W. Curtis and I. Rainer, “Methods of Representation Theory Vol. I”, Wiley Classics Library, Wiley, New York, 1981.
3. U. Carow-Watamura, M. Schlieker, S. Watamura and W. Weich, Bicovariant differential calculus on quantum groups $SU_q(N)$ and $SO_q(N)$, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **142** (1991), 605–641.
4. M. Grießl, Bicovariant DeRham Cohomology of $SU_q(2)$, *J. Geom. Phys.* **17** (1995), 90–94.
5. A. Gyoja, A q-Analog of Young Symmetrizer, *Osaka J. Math.* **23** (1986), 841–852.
6. T. Halverson, R. Leduc, and A. Ram, Iwahori-Hecke Algebras of Type A, Bitraces and Symmetric Function, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* (1997) No. 9, 401–416.
7. T. Hayashi, Quantum deformations of the classical groups, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **28** (1992), 57–81.
8. I. Heckenberger and K. Schmüdgen, Classification of bicovariant Differential Calculi on Quantum Groups $SL_q(N)$ and $Sp_q(N)$, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* to appear.
9. J. E. Humphreys, “Reflection groups and Coxeter groups”, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

10. B. Jurčo, Differential calculus on quantized simple Lie groups, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **22** (1991), 177–186.
11. A. Klimyk and K. Schmüdgen, "Quantum Groups and their Representations", Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1997.
12. V. Lyubashenko and A. Sudbery, Quantum Supergroups of $GL(n|m)$ Type: Differential Forms, Koszul Complexes and Berezinians, *Duke Math. J.* **90** (1997), 1–62.
13. I. G. Macdonald, "Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials", 2nd ed., Claredon Press, Oxford, 1995.
14. S. Majid, "Foundations of Quantum Group Theory", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
15. G. Maltsiniotis, Le Langage des Espaces et des Groupes Quantiques, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **151** (1993), 275–302.
16. Yu. I. Manin, "Quantum Groups and Non-Commutative Geometry", Publications du C. R. M. 1561, Univ. of Montreal, 1988.
17. B. Parshall and J. Wang, Quantum Linear Groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **439**, Providence, RI, 1991.
18. S. B. Priddy, Koszul Resolutions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **152** (1970), 39–69.
19. A. Ram, A Frobenius formula for the characters of the Hecke algebras, *Invent. Math.* **106** (1991), 461–488.
20. N. Yu. Reshetikhin, L. A. Takhtajan, and L. D. Faddeev, Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras, *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** (1990), 193–225.
21. P. Schauenburg, Differential-Graded Hopf Algebras and Quantum Group Differential Calculi, *J. Algebra*, **180** (1996), 239–286.
22. K. Schmüdgen and A. Schüler, Classification of bicovariant differential calculi on quantum groups of type A, B, C and D, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **167** (1994), 635–670.
23. K. Schmüdgen and A. Schüler, Classification of bicovariant differential calculi on quantum groups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **170** (1994), 315–335.
24. A. Sudbery, The algebra of differential forms on a full matric bialgebra, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **114** (1993), 111–130.
25. S. L. Woronowicz, Twisted $SU(2)$ group. An example of a noncommutative differential calculus, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **23** (1987), 117–181.
26. S. L. Woronowicz, Differential calculus on compact matrix pseudogroups (quantum groups), *Comm. Math. Phys.* **122** (1989), 125–170.

AXEL SCHÜLER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEIPZIG, AUGUSTUSPLATZ 10, 04109 LEIPZIG, GERMANY